Heritage and Destiny

£4.00 / $8.00 Issue 94 Stand Men of the West – Today is the day we fight! January-February 2020

Britain's Brexit Revolution – Tory Landslide

Start of a Nationalist Renaissance?

see pages 3-6

also in this issue:

Dr Nick Kollerstrom on 'false flag' terror – book reviewed by Dr James Thring

see pages 13-15

and:

Successful Jordan-Tyndall Memorial Meeting held in Leeds

see page 19

Editor: Mark Cotterill; Assistant Editor: Peter Rushton
Webmaster: Peter Rushton; Sales Manager: Anne Wright
Patrons: Dr. James Lewthwaite, J.R. Bell, Peter Marshall Ph.D., David King,
Dale Hildebeitel, A. Gary Clarke, Robert Burroughs:
Address: 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE, Great Britain.
Tel: +44 (0) 7833 677484; Website address: **www.heritageanddestiny.com**
ISSN 1741-8941; Electronic Mail: **heritageanddestiny@yahoo.com**
Twitter: **@h_d_magazine**
Opinions expressed in articles are the authors' own, and should not be taken to represent *H&D's* viewpoint

Editorial

Welcome to issue 94 of *Heritage and Destiny.* With the General Election now come and gone (see Peter Rushton's report and analysis pages 3-6), Brexit about to come and in all probability a Boris Johnson led Tory Government for the next five years, where does this leave us?

'Us' being what is left of the British radical, racial-nationalist movement, which does not include UKIP and/or the Brexit Party, and/or any of the other civic 'right-wing' grouplets that clutter up the internet.

We need to be clear that 'we' nationalists are neither left-wing or right-wing, no matter how much the media tell us – and the public – that we are 'far-right' or 'extreme-right' or even 'ultra-right'!

Does it not seem bizarre and certainly inadequate, that chance seating arrangements in the French Chamber of Deputies in 1789, should shape political definitions for all time?

While the Corbynistas would undoubtedly regard *H&D* as 'extreme right-wing', Boris and Tebbit would regard us as 'left-wing', though I doubt the Liberal-Democrats would agree to sharing the centre ground with us! Perhaps nationalism should now be described as 'the radical centre' ?

Now some observers without a political axe to grind, might say that some of our views are 'right-wing' while others are 'left-wing', and it is a short step from there to accusing us of having a jumble of contradictory ideas – merely because they do not fit into the preconceived notions of the established 'left' or 'right'.

With regards to any policy a genuine nationalist party may have, who is to say what is 'left-wing' and what is 'right-wing'? Why should State spending on the NHS be 'left-wing', but State spending on defence be 'right-wing'? Indeed, elements in the so-called 'libertarian right' might regard both as 'left-wing'!



The French Parliament (then known as Estates-General) meeting at Versailles in 1789 – with conservatives sitting on the right, hence creating the terms 'right-wing' and 'left-wing'.

Some in the Establishment media have attempted to join the ends of the 'left-right' to form a circle, while the late Prof. Hans Eysenck produced a scale in the form of a graph where relative political positionings could be plotted in two dimensions. All these scales represent a very subjective and very arbitrary over-simplification of something that is multidimensional, or even beyond dimensionality.

Genuine nationalists should see the essential struggle as one between nationalism and internationalism, and it is a struggle in which the orthodox 'left' and 'right' are now both firmly on the other side.

As insufficient as these terms may be, they are the accepted labels of the existing Establishment, and it is probably inevitable that as much as we might desire to do away with them altogether, we will nevertheless sometimes be forced to employ them.

Let us resolve, however, that although we may sometimes be forced to describe our opponents in the terms 'left' and 'right', we must certainly never refer to ourselves in those terms.

To attempt to explain our nationalist ideology in the terms applicable to the Establishment allows them to define our role, and suggests that we are just another faction within their existing system.

Sadly, the fact that many so-called 'nationalists' and 'patriots' like to refer to themselves (mainly on Facebook, Twitter or some irrelevant blog) as 'right-wing' is merely a reflection of their own identification problems and compromise with the enemy Establishment. In contrast to them, we must show that we are operating on a totally different plane and are seeking to replace their corrupt and degenerate Establishment with a whole new order.

If you are an active Nationalist, why not buy some extra copies of this issue to give out (or sell them!) at your next branch meeting – it's another great issue and should 'sell like hot cakes'! And as always, we also need your regular donations – however large or small, every Pound, Dollar or even Euro counts. Please try and send in whatever you can afford. Thanks once again for your support, together we will win.

Heritage and Destiny

***Heritage and Destiny* reflects a cross-section of 21st century racial nationalist opinion. It is independent of all political organisations and parties. Unless stated otherwise, views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Heritage and Destiny* are the sole responsibility of the writers concerned and do not necessarily reflect the views of the editor or other staff.**

We welcome enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. However, the editor reserves the right to refuse to publish any advertisement submitted, without giving reasons. The appearance of any advertisement in *Heritage and Destiny* does not necessarily indicate that the editor knows anything about, endorses or is interested in the product, organisation, event or service being advertised.

Contributors and advertisers should bear in mind that *Heritage and Destiny* is subject to the laws of the United Kingdom, including our infamous race laws. Refusal to publish certain items should therefore not be taken as a personal or political affront!

The editor is pleased to receive articles for possible publication, preferably by disk or email. No payment is made for articles. Unless the writer specifically requests otherwise, articles become *Heritage and Destiny* copyright. The editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication. Those wishing to republish *Heritage and Destiny* items in other formats should be sure when doing so to give public acknowledgment to both the writer and *Heritage and Destiny* and to include in the acknowledgment the magazine's full address.

General Election 2019: Nationalists stand aside in effort to secure Brexit

The 2019 General Election has provoked confused and contradictory emotions among racial nationalists in the Dis-United Kingdom.

Reasons to gloat: securing at least some form of Brexit; routing Jeremy Corbyn's ultra-left Labour Party; and utterly crushing the shamelessly anti-democratic Liberal Democrats.

Reasons to mourn: racial nationalist and even civic nationalist parties almost disappearing from the electoral map; Nigel Farage's Brexit Party wiped out; and the Union itself – the very constitutional basis of our nation – under threat.

Yet perhaps most importantly, reasons to hope: the long-despised and overlooked White working-class, especially in parts of Northern England and the Midlands, has decisively broken free of its century-long servile adherence to the Labour Party. For now these voters have shifted in large numbers to the Conservative Party, but that party is fundamentally incapable of delivering the change that these communities are crying out for.

It's almost certain that Johnson's Tories will fail to deliver even a genuine Brexit – more likely a Brexit-in-name-only; and it's inconceivable that post-Brexit Tory Britain will carry out a U-turn on immigration, or adopt other socially conservative policies that their new White working-class backers would favour.

Racial nationalists must respond by getting our act together. Petty personal divisions – the narcissism of small differences – must be buried. Despite this year's electoral irrelevance, the potential for a serious racial nationalist movement has never been greater.

Overall this 2019 General Election was of course a triumph for Conservative Prime Minister Boris Johnson and his chief strategist Dominic Cummings, who took a big risk in setting out to destroy Nigel Farage's Brexit Party rather than cooperate with it. A risk that has paid off.

Having won the European Parliamentary elections on 23rd May this year with 30.5% of the nationwide vote, electing 29 MEPs, Farage was trapped into an illogical, one-sided 'deal' where he stood down all Brexit Party candidates in Tory-held constituencies, while getting no reciprocal concessions even in constituencies where the Brexit Party could be seen as the main challenger.

This sent a fatally mixed message to pro-Leave voters: yes, the Tory Party could supposedly be trusted to deliver Brexit, at least to the extent that Farage didn't want to split the vote even where the sitting Tory MP was a (former) Remainer. But in other constituencies the Tories could apparently **not** be trusted, even where their candidate was a hard Brexiteer!

In principle, the strategy didn't add up; even though in practice Farage was left with little choice.

And the electoral consequence is starkly obvious: the Brexit Party has zero MPs, a situation that would have astounded most pundits just a few months ago. Of the party's 275 general election candidates, 165 lost their deposits after polling below 5%.

(*clockwise from top left*): 2019 Tory winners in traditional Labour strongholds included Paul Howell in Tony Blair's old constituency Sedgefield; James Grundy in the former Lancashire coalfield seat Leigh; and Mark Fletcher in Bolsover, ousting Labour veteran Dennis Skinner after almost fifty years; while a rare example of the opposite trend in formerly Tory pro-Remain areas saw Labour's Fleur Anderson (*bottom left*) gain Putney in SW London.

Alongside the strategic dilemma, Farage had another self-made problem. Seeking to avoid petty internal distractions that had disrupted and eventually destroyed UKIP, Farage decided to run the Brexit Party on a centrally directed 'business' model, rather than as a traditional constitutional party.

One consequence is that the Brexit Party has few if any genuine branches: its activists tend to be individuals who (together with a handful of friends and relatives) have a contractual relationship with party HQ. Typically in one northern constituency, the Brexit candidate came from outside the area; and though more than a hundred individuals had sent £25 each to register as Brexit Party supporters in this constituency, not one of them lifted a finger to campaign in the General Election!

Most national Brexit Party effort went into **Hartlepool**, where Farage's fellow MEP Richard Tice (Brexit Party chairman) finished in a strong third place with 10,603 votes (25.8%). It seems that Farage and Tice fell out over strategy during the campaign, and by the end the party leader was no longer visiting Hartlepool.

However the best results – very significantly – were in Barnsley, where the Brexit Party formed an alliance with a much smaller party which did have a genuine local activist base. Democrats & Veterans Party councillor Vicky Felton finished runner-up with 11,233 votes (30.4%) in **Barnsley Central**, while in **Barnsley East**, Brexit Party candidate Jim Ferguson (though not himself local) benefited from the D&V activist base and was another runner-up with 11,112 votes (29.2%).

Mrs Felton now describes herself as an independent councillor, though her husband Gavin Felton remains Democrats & Veterans Party chairman. Similarly Rebecca Rees-Evans, wife of D&V Party founder Jonathan Rees-Evans, was Brexit Party candidate in the South-Wales constituency of **Cynon Valley**, polling 10.1%.

In some formerly strong Brexit/UKIP areas, Farage's challenge faded away as pro-Leave voters flocked to the Tories. Local councillor Alan Graves lost his deposit in **Derby North** with 4.1%. (This was one of many Tory gains from the Labour Party across the Midlands.) In next door **Derby South** the Brexit Party's Tim Prosser did slightly better and at least got his deposit back with 5.8%. (He was helped by this not being a realistic Tory target.)

One striking feature of the Brexit Party's results is that it tended to poll better on the Eastern than the Western side of England, and didn't build much on old BNP strongholds (with the partial exception of Barnsley).

For example, Brexit candidates took 8.6% in **Burnley** (peak BNP vote 11.3%); 7.5% in **Oldham West & Royton** (peak BNP vote 16.4%); 6.5% in **Oldham East & Saddleworth** (peak BNP vote 11.2%); 7.2% in **Barking** (peak BNP vote 16.9%); 3.3% in **Dewsbury** (peak BNP vote 13.1%); 5.9% in **Stoke North** (peak BNP vote 8.0%); and 6.6% in **Dagenham & Rainham** (peak BNP vote 11.2%).

There was a strong contrast between two constituencies scarred by Asian 'grooming' scandals in recent years: the Brexit Party polled

(*above left to right*): Brexit Party chairman Richard Tice was third in Hartlepool with 25.8%; Vicky Felton (seen here with Nigel Farage) achieved the Brexit Party's best result, 30.4% in Barnsley Central; former UKIP candidate Graham Moore polled the best English Democrat result, 1.2% in Bexleyheath & Crayford; Dave Furness was the only candidate from any of the traditional racial nationalist parties, polling 0.9% for the BNP in Hornchurch & Upminster; and Fiona Mills achieved the best UKIP result, 2.4% in Carlisle.

17.2% in **Rotherham**, but 8.2% in **Rochdale** – another example of Farage's appeal tending to be stronger East of the Pennines.

For the reasons mentioned earlier, there were no Brexit Party candidates in some of the party's former target seats in Eastern England, such as **Thanet South**, **Clacton**, or **Boston & Skegness** (each held by Tory MPs given a free run by Farage).

Several Brexit Party candidates were denounced by 'anti-fascists' for allegedly 'anti-semitic' or 'racist' social media posts. For example a few days before the election the Brexit Party disowned their own candidate James Buckley in **Blackley & Broughton** (a cross-border Manchester-Salford constituency) after his history of anti-Muslim and anti-Jewish activism was made public.

However Mr Buckley remained on the ballot paper as a Brexit Party candidate, as parties do not have the power to remove such labels after the close of nominations. He saved his deposit, finishing third with 2,736 votes (7.1%).

Another alleged 'anti-semite' disowned by his own party went on regardless to be elected as an MP. Neale Hanvey won **Kirkcaldy & Cowdenbeath**, officially as Scottish National Party candidate, despite having been thrown out of the SNP for online posts including sharing an 'anti-semitic' image of George Soros.

This is believed to be the first time ever that a candidate has been suspended from their own party during a campaign, yet gone on to win the seat. Having defeated Lesley Laird, Deputy Leader of the Scottish Labour Party, Mr Hanvey will sit as an independent in the new House of Commons – unless the SNP decide to forgive and readmit him.

Most British racial nationalists stood aside from the 2019 General Election, recognising that it would be fought mainly over the Brexit issue. There were no candidates from the National Front, British Democratic Party or For Britain Movement.

David Furness was the sole BNP candidate, obtaining meagre reward for his energetic campaign in **Hornchurch & Upminster**, despite having no competition here from the Brexit Party or UKIP. Mr Furness finished fifth of five candidates with 510 votes (0.9%). Pro-Brexit voters here rallied behind the Conservative candidate Julia Lopez, re-elected with 65.8% of the vote.

The English Democrats had five candidates, three of whom had no Brexit Party or UKIP opponents, but like Mr Furness were overshadowed by the Conservative appeal to Brexiteers:
Graham Moore, **Bexleyheath & Crayford**, 520 votes (1.2%), the best nationalist-populist vote this year outside Brexit/UKIP ranks;
party leader Robin Tilbrook, **Brentwood & Ongar**, 532 votes (1.0%);
eccentric anti-Islam activist Amy Dalla Mura, **Broxtowe**, 432 votes (0.8%).

Outgoing Speaker John Bercow's seat in **Buckingham** was retained by the new Tory candidate, with both the Brexit Party's Andrew Bell (2.0%) and the EDs' Antonio Vitiello (0.3%) pushed to the margins. In **Doncaster North** (once an English Democrat stronghold) ED candidate Frank Calladine with 0.8% was way behind the Brexit Party's Andy Stewart, whose 20.4% was still only enough for third place.

Dave Harding of the Veterans and People's Party took 631 votes (1.5%) in **Great Yarmouth**; while his party colleague Mark Tunnicliff in **Linlithgow & Falkirk East** managed 588 votes (1.0%).

Former NF and ED candidate Gary Butler polled 369 votes (0.7%) as an independent candidate in **Faversham & Mid Kent**; while former BNP candidate Dr Andrew Emerson polled 109 votes (0.2%) for his Patria party in **Chichester**. Former UKIP leader Henry Bolton, whose splinter party Our Nation was deregistered earlier this year, polled 576 votes (1.0%) as an independent in **Folkestone & Hythe**.

The remnants of UKIP managed only 44 candidates nationwide, and even when not splitting the vote against Brexit Party candidates, their results were unimpressive. Weeks before the election UKIP's chaos had worsened when the party's national executive suspended their own leader, and during the election campaign interim leader Pat Mountain gave a risible interview on nationwide television, embarrassingly failing to answer basic questions about immigration policy.

Mixed-race London Assembly member David Kurten saw the UKIP vote halved in **Bognor Regis & Littlehampton**, polling just 1.7%, down from 3.7% in 2017.

Even when not facing Brexit Party opponents, UKIP candidates typically lost half or more of their already poor 2017 votes. The party has paid a high price for its internal divisions and its on-off alliances with fringe anti-Islam campaigners, including online cranks. Only two UKIP candidates managed to poll 2% or more this year: their best result was in **Carlisle**, where Fiona Mills polled 1,045 votes (2.4%).

Former UKIP county councillor Helena Windsor, standing as an independent in **Surrey East**, managed 1,374 votes (2.3%).

An especially disturbing moment on election night was on the ITV results programme where George Osborne (former Tory Chancellor of the Exchequer, now editor of the London *Evening Standard*) casually suggested that 'Ireland' now looked set on a road to some form of 'federation'. A man whose lucrative career has been built on what is still called the 'Conservative & Unionist Party' seemed perfectly happy to wave goodbye to the Union.

Two years ago on the morning after the 2017 General Election, it all looked so different. Theresa May's failure had left her Conservative government dependent on the ten Democratic Unionist Party (DUP) MPs. Yet in the last act of endless Parliamentary manoeuvring over Brexit, Mrs May's successor Boris Johnson shamelessly betrayed his erstwhile DUP allies, striking a deal with the opportunistic Irish Prime Minister, half-Indian Leo Varadkar, that was (for Ulster) far worse than Mrs May's deal.

This tentative deal meant a border in the Irish Sea, accepting that (at least for the initial post-Brexit period) Ulster would be regarded as separate from the rest of the UK. Nor is this a mere temporary technicality. It is quite evident that Varadkar and Irish nationalists/republicans see Brexit as part of their long-term strategy to finish the job that they see as having been interrupted in 1922 – i.e. incorporating the Six Counties in a 'United Ireland'.

(It shouldn't be necessary to remind *H&D* readers that this 'United Ireland' is a fantasy nation that has never previously existed at

any time in history, except as a component part of the United Kingdom before 1922.)

The threat to the Union comes from both Westminster-Dublin plots and a crumbling of the Unionist vote closer to home. Undoubtedly the DUP paid a delayed price for complacency and (arguably in some cases) incompetence and corruption in (for example) the so-called 'cash for ash' scandal when millions were wasted in excessive subsidy payments to farmers for 'renewable energy' schemes.

Moreover there has been a long-term collapse of the Ulster Unionist middle-class: a toxic combination of emigration, liberalism and careerism, adding up to the abandonment of roots and responsibility by some of the very people who should have taken on community leadership. One symptom is the growth of the 'cross-community', liberal Alliance Party, whose General Election vote across Ulster more than doubled from 8.0% to 16.8%, gaining the **North Down** seat previously held by retiring independent unionist Lady Hermon.

The DUP had hopes of gaining North Down themselves, but finished almost 3,000 votes behind the Alliance. Far worse was the defeat of the DUP's Westminster leader Nigel Dodds, who lost to Sinn Fein's John Finucane in the **Belfast North** seat he had held since 2001. Symbolic of this year's electoral disaster in Ulster was the victory of Finucane (whose father Pat Finucane was an infamous IRA lawyer executed by UDA paramilitaries in February 1989); and the simultaneous defeat in **Belfast South** of the DUP's Emma Little-Pengelly (whose father Noel Little was arrested in Paris in April 1989 and later jailed for his role in the importation of arms for the loyalist Ulster Resistance). Belfast South fell to the 'moderate' nationalist SDLP, which is closer than ever to its allies in Dublin.

The bottom line is that Northern Ireland now has eight DUP MPs, seven Sinn Fein, two SDLP, and one Alliance – so Unionists are now outnumbered by Nationalists/Republicans for the first time.



The Unionist cause took a severe beating in the 2019 election, with defeated MPs including (*clockwise from above left*): DUP Westminster leader Nigel Dodds (Belfast North); his DUP colleague Emma Little-Pengelly (Belfast South); Scottish Conservative junior minister Colin Clark (Gordon); and Mormon Tory Stephen Kerr (Stirling).

Hence the treacherous snake Osborne is emboldened to state publicly what has for decades been the secret policy of part of the Tory establishment – selling out Ulster in return for a shabby deal with Dublin, in accord with the IRA's friends in Washington. Needless to say, in this respect President Donald Trump is just as much the enemy of Ulster as Democrat predecessors such as Bill Clinton (in fact arguably Trump is even worse from an Ulster perspective).

Another Brexit-related threat to the Union is in Scotland, where the Scottish National Party further consolidated its political dominance, gaining seven seats from the Tories and six from Labour, while most famously ousting Liberal Democrat leader Jo Swinson in her own **East Dunbartonshire** constituency. The SNP (which already controls the Scottish Parliament) now has 48 of the 59 Scottish Westminster seats, and party leader Nicola Sturgeon (First Minister of Scotland) feels that the Brexit situation further entitles her to demand another referendum on Scottish independence.

The previous referendum in 2014 rejected independence by 56.3% to 44.7%, but the constitutional position has arguably altered significantly since then, not to mention the fact that the SNP has now won landslide victories at the 2015 and 2019 Westminster elections (slightly interrupted by their setbacks in 2017). An independent Scotland would undoubtedly seek to rejoin the European Union (and would have little trouble winning any referendum on that issue), but there are two obvious obstacles. Firstly, with a Commons majority of 80, there is absolutely no reason why Boris Johnson should accede to Sturgeon's demands, unless cynical considerations of removing anti-Conservative MPs from future Westminster contests were to outweigh residual commitment to the Union. And secondly, there is no reason to think that European Union bosses would necessarily accept a Scottish application for membership.

Welsh nationalists have never had the same realistic chance of independence as their Scottish cousins – partly because the cultural and political divisions between North Wales and South Wales run far deeper than those between different regions of Scotland. The 1997 referendum only just barely succeeded in winning a majority (50.3% to 49.7%) for a Welsh Assembly with considerably weaker devolved powers than the Scottish Parliament, and though in recent years Labour's internal divisions have allowed the Welsh nationalist party Plaid Cymru to make inroads into some previously rock-solid Labour areas, this is for the moment a matter of building votes rather than winning extra seats.

In this year's election Plaid struck a pro-Remain pact with the Liberal Democrat and Green parties, standing down in four constituencies while getting a free run from their anti-Brexit allies in the seven, but still facing Lib Dem opposition in the marginal **Ceredigion**. However this didn't help them win any extra seats: Plaid merely retained their four MPs elected in 2017, all in West Wales. Of their two realistic targets, Plaid finished third in the tight three way marginal of **Ynys Môn**, gained by the Tories; and third again, making little progress in the still fairly safe Labour seat of **Llanelli**.

A more conservative Welsh nationalist party – Gwlad Gwlad – contested the **Montgomeryshire** constituency, where Gwyn Evans polled 2.1%. Unlike the ultra-liberal, politically correct Plaid Cymru, Gwlad Gwlad adopts an immigration policy that favours the native Welsh and promotes Welsh culture.

Though Plaid will hope to make further advances at the 2021 Welsh Assembly elections, there is little threat of Wales breaking away from the Union, and one very important difference from Scotland is that in Wales there is substantial (and probably still majority) support for Brexit.

Disillusionment with the traditional party system has produced numerous strong votes in council elections for local protest parties in recent years, and some of these have started to field parliamentary candidates, but the first-past-the-post electoral system makes it difficult for them to make much headway. The Yorkshire Party (formed in 2014) had 28 General Election candidates, up from 21 two years ago. Results showed a modest improvement, though all deposits were lost: the best results were Mick Bower's 3.8% in **Rotherham** and Chris Pearson's 3.7% in **Richmond**. The Yorkshire Party sat on the fence over Brexit, emphasising the need to secure a "good deal for Yorkshire".

Cornish separatist party Mebyon Kernow, whose history was covered in *H&D* 78, contested just one seat this year, having sat out the 2017 election, but well down on the six seats contested in 2010 and 2015. Party leader Dick Cole polled 3.0% in **St Austell & Newquay**.

One highly amusing aspect of this year's General Election was the bitter division within the ranks of professional anti-fascists

associated with *Searchlight* and *Hope not Hate*. While both of these anti-White journals were virulently hostile to Brexit, two of their favourite MPs – former *Hope not Hate* employee Ruth Smeeth in **Stoke North**, and leading anti-BNP campaigner Jon Cruddas in **Dagenham & Rainham** – broke ranks at the tail end of the last Parliament to vote for Boris Johnson's Brexit deal!

No doubt this was partly for careerist reasons, since neither Smeeth nor Cruddas had any previous record of principled opposition to the European Union. Despite his constituents' pro-Leave tendency, Cruddas just survived with his majority slashed from 4,652 to 293. However Smeeth suffered one of Labour's heaviest defeats, more than 6,000 votes behind the Tory after a 10.6% swing. (Note for non-UK readers: 'swing' is a standard measure of electoral changes, calculated by adding the increase of one party's vote to the decrease of another's, then dividing by two. In the pre-computer age, television analysts predicted results using a 'swingometer'.)

Needless to say, the 'culturally Jewish' and staunchly Zionist Smeeth blamed her leader's 'anti-semitism' for her and others' defeats. Calling for Corbyn's immediate resignation, she said: "Jeremy Corbyn's actions and inaction on anti-Semitism has [*sic*] made us the nasty party. Jewish friends have told me today they feel a Labour victory would make them unsafe – that is despicable, we, the Labour leadership should be ashamed." Smeeth added Corbyn had been "at best a bystander" to anti-semitism in Labour; "at worst culpable and directly involved" and should be "looking at himself in a mirror and deciding whether he is a racist."

One wonders whether Ms Smeeth is similarly bitter towards her own *Hope not Hate* colleague Sam Tarry, who is now able to draw an MP's salary having reinvented himself as a Corbynist and won the **Ilford South** seat relinquished by anti-Corbyn rebel Mike Gapes. Addressing the same concerns trumpeted by his erstwhile comrade Smeeth, but from an opposite perspective, Tarry told an Ilford campaign event: "There are people associated with the Labour Party who have sought to exploit the issue [of antisemitism] just because they don't agree with Jeremy Corbyn over an issue of foreign policy." Is this another case not so much of *Hope not Hate*, rather *Salary not Principle*? Should we be turning a Searchlight on 'anti-fascist" hypocrisy?

It is surely significant that much of the anti-Labour revolt this year was not so much on the racial frontline, more in areas that have retained a mainly White racial character even where traditional industries have declined or disappeared. Notable Tory victories occurred in areas that are still thought of as former coalfields (though in some cases such as **Leigh** their coal mines closed fifty years ago). Examples included former Prime Minister Tony Blair's old constituency **Sedgefield** (Labour to Tory swing 12.8%); Derbyshire coalfield seat **Bolsover**, held by Labour leftwinger Dennis Skinner since 1970 (11.5% swing); **Blyth Valley**, where Labour Eurosceptic Ronnie Campbell retired (10.4% swing); and **Bassetlaw**, the Nottinghamshire seat where retiring MP John Mann had defected from the Labour Party and taken a post as the Tory government's adviser on 'anti-semitism' (18.4% swing).

By contrast some former Tory seats where voters are far more affluent than those areas mentioned above, but which had voted strongly to Remain in the EU, retained far higher Labour votes (though in some cases the pro-Remain vote was confused and split between Labour and the Liberal Democrats). These were not primarily examples of demographic change: despite his landslide win, Boris Johnson will be concerned by a continuing trend among middle-class voters under forty five, who are deserting his party over issues including Europe, high housing costs in London, and lack of economic opportunities even for graduates as Western Capitalism continues to struggle with the consequences of the 2008 crash.

For example **Putney** in South West London saw a Labour gain from the Tories with a 6.4% swing (on top of the 10.3% swing in the same direction in 2017); Labour kept their 2017 gain in nearby **Battersea** with a doubled majority; **Canterbury** – one of the biggest shocks of 2017 – stayed Labour with a majority increased from 187 to 1,836; and even **Kensington**, where the southern half of the constituency is one of the wealthiest areas in the world, almost stayed in Labour hands – the Tory vote slipping to a historic low of 38.3%, and only electing a Tory MP because of tactical confusion in the opposition ranks.

During this year's election campaign Labour was publicly denounced by the UK's Chief Rabbi for 'anti-semitism' and this undoubtedly demoralised and distracted the party at a crucial moment, attracting disproportionate media attention, but seems to have had little direct impact on Labour votes outside a very few seats with a strong Jewish presence – for example **Bury South** (gained by the Tories with a 6.3% swing).

Racial nationalists seeking to read the electoral runes and find signs of hope for our movement should begin with an accurate assessment of exactly what these election results represent. Some might be puzzled by the strength of anti-Corbyn reaction in 2019, compared to his far more favourable reception among many voters in 2017. What has changed in two years? There has after all never been the slightest secret about Corbyn's far-left version of socialism, nor his close association with the IRA, nor his multiracialist obsessions, nor his controversial (some might say courageous) opposition to Zionism. And it was obvious in 2017 that the only way to secure at least a modest version of Brexit was a Conservative majority at Westminster. Indeed that was the rationale for Mrs May calling the 2017 election in the first place.

So why did all that add up to a hung parliament in 2017, but a Tory majority of 80 two years later? Why did Corbyn's Labour slump from 262 seats in 2017 to 202 seats in 2019? Part of the answer is that voters no longer have deep-seated tribal or ideological allegiances. Especially in areas of the North and Midlands blighted by the extinction of traditional industries, voters have a short-termist, superficial, one might say desperate attraction to 'new' and 'anti-establishment' faces. Two years ago Corbyn was accepted by a certain slice of these voters, because he was still seen as a new face. (A US parallel is of course the Obama phenomenon in 2008, and to some extent Trump in 2016.)

This time round, Corbyn no longer had this shiny attraction for the magpie mentality. Newly elected Tory leader Boris Johnson – despite his Eton and Oxford education – had the fresh patina of 'anti-establishment' insurgency.

During 2020 and 2021 that patina will wear off. The challenge for racial nationalists is to refocus voters' minds on hard choices that must be faced if we are serious about national renewal. That means being honest about race and immigration, and if necessary dismissing the concerns of some business organisations who want to ensure a regular supply of cheap immigrant labour post-Brexit. It means drawing some 'underclass' voters out of their comfort zone of reliance on welfare benefits, while at the same time ensuring higher wages and better working conditions for those prepared to put in a day's work.

'Free market' shibboleths should have no role in 21st century nationalism. Affluent and older voters in London and the South East will have to bear a large part of the financial cost involved in correcting the grotesque inequalities that disfigure our (post-)modern and (Dis-)United Kingdom. This is not the time for a detailed discussion of economic policy, but there will be an inevitable price to be paid if we are serious about a country safe for White families – and the vast gap between rich Whites and poor Whites in 2019 should be just as unacceptable to racial nationalists as the threat from aliens in our midst.

Boris Johnson's party has already abandoned austerity but remains ideologically incoherent, implicitly promising social conservatism (not to mention massive investment) to the White working-class in depressed post-industrial areas – but in reality still dominated by a socially liberal, metropolitan leadership drenched in the snout-in-trough, greed-is-good culture of the post-Thatcherite City of London.

It is this incoherence that will (perhaps very quickly in the course of 2020) open opportunities for racial nationalists. We have the true answers that White voters are looking for, but will our message again become even audible, let alone comprehensible and credible? That's the challenge of the 2020s.

Peter Rushton, Manchester, England

Myths of 'racism' and the Second World War

The Second World War continues to cast its baleful shadow over political discourse in the Western world. But as the years go by, hard facts are discarded where they don't fit the narrative that suits the liberal elite – specifically the simplistic view that the War was a conflict between nasty 'racists' and nice 'anti-racists'. Let us look at the facts, first by looking at the main countries on the Allied side:

The British Empire: In 1939 the Empire covered almost a quarter of the globe. A minority of the countries in the Empire had predominantly Caucasian populations, like Canada and Australia. They were independent countries with 'Commonwealth' status, and their populations were free to elect their own governments. They freely chose to fight against the Axis powers. Those countries with overwhelmingly native populations were colonies pure and simple: ruled by a government appointed by Britain. They were given no choice about entering the war. In short, Britain headed an explicitly white-supremacist empire throughout the Second World War.



Jesse Owens (*above centre*) receiving his gold medal for long jump at the 1936 Berlin Olympics, alongside Germany's Lutz Long (silver medal) and Japan's Naoto Tajima (bronze medal). Contrary to rumour, Owens was never disrespected by Adolf Hitler or the Berlin organisers, and indeed suffered far more racial problems in his native USA.

America: so many volumes have been penned by devout liberals bemoaning the 'racist' nature of segregationist America, and the suffering of the downtrodden Negro population, that I hardly need to elaborate the point that America was undoubtedly a white-supremacist country at that time. Consider the fate of one African-American, Berlin Olympic gold winner Jesse Owens, as an example: on October 15, 1936 Owens addressed an audience of African Americans at a Republican rally in Kansas City, remarking that, "Hitler didn't snub me – it was our president who snubbed me. The [US] president didn't even send me a telegram." Owens later said he was treated better in Germany than in America. Yes, in Roosevelt's liberal America, one of the world's outstanding athletes, because he was a negro, was treated more badly there than in Nazi Germany.

The Soviet Union: The USSR inherited Tsarist Russia's sprawling empire which included many Asian lands within its borders. Did they liberate these colonies after the revolution? Silly question! Stalin's main claim to ideological fame is that he "solved the nationalities problem". He preferred the 'mosaic' to the 'melting pot', within the multi-ethnic Soviet empire, where each nationality would have its own homeland, its unique spot in the overall empire. Given that this would, in theory, allow each national group the chance to safeguard its own identity, it might be considered praiseworthy, if it were ever carried out, humanely, in the real world. In practice I doubt if this happened much. Again, however, an Allied country had policies strangely incompatible with the dogmas of 'anti-racism'.

France, Holland, Belgium etc.: It is debatable if they can be said to have been participants in the war, given the rapidity of their surrender. They were however all white-supremacist empires, until they were invaded by the Reich.

And on the Axis side – **Germany**: Hitler saw how useless Germany's far-flung empire had been in WW1, unable to contribute significantly more resources to the conflict than it took to defend. He strove instead to create a new empire, contiguous with Germany's borders, by colonising the Slavic lands to the east. Whilst in the 'anti-racist' empires white nations colonised African and Asian peoples, the 'racist' Third Reich sought to colonise fellow White European peoples. It should not be forgotten that in the process they stigmatised millions of slavic Europeans as primitive "*Untermensch*", no better than negroes and deserving of subjugation – hardly a 'White racialist' creed – whilst forming an alliance with the Asiatic Japanese.

Japan: the only non-Caucasian nation to enter the war of its own volition, as opposed to those which entered the war because their colonial masters said so. A principal Japanese war aim was to replace European colonial influence in the far east, with the "Greater East Asian Co-Prosperity Sphere" – basically a front for Japanese imperialism. Like the Third Reich, Japan sought to subjugate people of kindred ethnic stock.

Italy: the least significant of the Axis powers, Italy might be considered the most 'racist' – it did, after all, invade and subjugate a black African country – Abyssinia. This was, however, practically the only black African country left to be colonised, as the rest of the continent had already been subjugated by the 'anti-racist' European powers.

If the Second World War was a conflict between racists and anti-racists, then which side was which? I do not mean to suggest that the Axis alliance was composed of dripping wet liberals, or that the Allies were all principled ethnic nationalists – this is obviously far from the truth. But I do mean to suggest that the conventional view of the War, in which the 'racist' Axis powers were pitted against the 'anti-racist' Allies, is the grossest of over-simplifications. It is an over-simplification with many harmful effects:

– it gives succour to the liberal left, which hates facing facts that challenge its safe, sanitised narrative of history;

– it is an embarrassment to present day ethnic nationalists, who think that Hitler was somehow "on our side", even if only in the same sense that Change UK MPs are on the same (left) side as butchers like Stalin and Mao.

A small number of people who are instinctively hostile to multi-racialism emasculate themselves politically, by rushing to the fringes crying 'Hitler was right!' Ironically they have accepted the same simplistic view of the Third Reich and the Second World War as the anti-racists they would claim to oppose.

William King, Lewes, East Sussex

Editor's note: William King runs the nationalist archives - **Roots of Radicalism** *website* - ***www.rootsofradicalism.com*** - *if you can add articles to the website, please contact him by writing to - P.O. Box 63718, London, SW3 9AT, U.K., or by email to: info@rootsofradicalism.com*

At the 2019 Traditional Britain Group Conference

This year's annual conference of the Traditional Britain Group was held on Saturday 19th October at the Charing Cross Hotel, in central London.

The TBG conference is now a long established highlight of the year for patriots, nationalists, real conservatives, dissident rightists, in fact, a cross-section of all those willing to think outside the box of conformist ideology (if that it not too grand a name for the mush that passes for a world view in the PC England of 2019!).

Some 166 delegates (a small increase on last year's record attendance, so setting a new record) gathered in the magnificent ballroom, while, in shades of groundhog day, a People's Vote march, calling for a second referendum on Brexit, filed by the Charing Cross Hotel, just as happened last year.

That was not the only demonstration taking place on the day, for superadded to the generally amiable "world's biggest Waitrose queue" on the pro-EU march were the much more belligerent "unco-operative crusties" of Extinction Rebellion, who must have been surprised when one of the conference speakers decided to lead a snatch squad to seize one of their banners as a trophy, which was paraded around the meeting to much mirth!

Speakers at the 2019 TBG Conference included (*clockwise from above left*): recently elected Polish MP Krzysztof Bosak; Alternative for Sweden co-founder Gustav Kasselstrand (seen here with Marine Le Pen); historian and journalist John Laughland; and *Mankind Quarterly* editor Dr Ed Dutton.

Back in the hall, as ever the speaker list was eclectic and interesting. No fewer than four of the seven speakers were foreign guests, giving the meeting a very pan-European feel, though not necessarily with the same perspective on what pan-Europeanism means as the more liberal marchers filing past the Charing Cross Hotel, more Leon Degrelle than Guy Verhofstadt, so to speak (and that's two famous Belgians in one line!).

The first foreign guest was Krzysztof Bosak from Poland. He is a leading national conservative, who has recently been elected as a member of the Polish Parliament for a grouping called the Confederation for Liberty and Independence, which is even more nationally orientated, socially conservative, and generally sound than the ruling Law and Justice Party.

Mr Bosak spoke to us in English without an interpreter, and (as with so many Europeans) displayed linguistic skills that put most of us to shame. It is also noteworthy that the TBG conference is perhaps the only event at which Polish and German nationalists can meet in a friendly spirit, one of the TBG's many creditable achievements.

Our next overseas speaker came from Sweden. He was Gustav Kasselstrand, co-founder and chairman of the party Alternative for Sweden. He was accompanied by his colleagues, including co-founder and podcaster Erik Berglund. Again we were addressed in excellent English.

Without wishing to delve into the reasons (which are in any event obscure to me) why some have felt it desirable to create a different political vehicle from the better known Sweden Democrats, it is encouraging to see nationalism flourishing (if sadly rather fragmented) in what was until very recently an apparently irredeemably liberal nation, set on collective suicide by unrestrained mass immigration.

The last speaker of the morning was an eminent if controversial academic historian and journalist, John Laughland, who is well-known as an outspoken critic of the lazy Russophobia that passes for foreign policy as conducted by intelligence, defence and diplomatic establishments that appear unable to get over the fact that the Cold War ended thirty years ago, when (as that oxymoron incarnate, a German comedian, famously observed "the wrong side won, but the right side lost"!), and indeed, it was a pity that both Communism and Liberalism could not both lose at the same time.

Still, at least the hammer and sickle no longer flies over the country of Rimski-Korsakov, Dostoevsky and Solzhenitsyn, and we are wrong, as Professor Laughland pointed out, to treat President V. V. Putin's regime for all its faults (most notably, as Professor Laughland acknowledged, the endemic corruption that is such a sad and unpleasant feature of modern Russia) as though we were still locked in an existential struggle with Communists who wished to bury us, not Russian nationalists, who wish only to pursue their perceived interests in their "near abroad", notably the Ukraine, which is so corrupt that it makes Putin's Russia seem positively Spartan.

The conference goers then adjourned for lunch, from which we returned rather later than scheduled, but only a little the worse for the libations enjoyed with it, to hear a lively and entertaining speech by Edward Dutton, who has a long academic pedigree in England and Finland and is now the editor of *Mankind Quarterly*. Ed kept us both entertained and instructed us (a difficult combination to pull off), focussing on ethnocentrism (or its absence, real or only apparent) leading to the present plight of the European peoples, who are at risk of dispossession in their very ancestral homelands.

Next came our third and most politically successful foreign guest, Martin Helme of the Conservative People's Party of Estonia (EKRE), and Minister of Finance in Estonia.

Personally, I would be delighted to swap Sajid Javid for Martin Helme, but the Estonians are not such fools as to put a non-Estonian in charge of their public finances.

Martin Helme is a more or less open racial nationalist. Asked to discuss race riots in Sweden on Estonian television some six years ago, he was very forthright, saying: "Our immigration policy should have one simple rule: If black, show the door. We will not even let the problem appear. I want Estonia to be a white country." He is also a charming and thoroughly engaging man and speaks English better than most English people.

It was an honour to hear from possibly the most successful overt racial nationalist in post-1945 Europe. We have much to learn from his combination of good optics and hard line ideology. Unfortunately, to date the movement in England has not proved itself adept at combining the two.

The penultimate speaker and fourth foreign guest was Herr Bernhard Ziminiok MEP, a Bavarian AfD member, ex-military man and former diplomat, who spoke on Islam, the migration crisis and the threats to Europe.

While Herr Ziminiok is clearly a very sincere and personally likeable man, he made the questionable decision to read his far from succinct speech word for word from a typed text, which never works well. He was candid enough to say that he did not dare to speak *ad lib*, for if he told us his real thoughts, he would get himself and his party in trouble!

In total contrast, the final speaker, Catherine Blaiklock, gave the most impassioned and unrestrained speech of the day. Miss Blaiklock first became involved in politics through UKIP and had no discernible interest in politics before the 2016 referendum. She was UKIP candidate in Great Yarmouth at the 2017 general election, when she polled 6.1% of the vote, a sign of the decline in UKIP's fortunes, for her predecessor had polled 23.1% in 2015 against the intellectually unimpressive Tory bigwig, Brandon Lewis.

Miss Blaiklock was one of many UKIP *prominenti* who left the party in wholly understandable concern at the increasing involvement of Stephen Yaxley-Lennon, alias Tommy Robinson.

She went on to incorporate and register the Brexit party on 20th January 2019 at the request of Nigel Farage, to whom she voluntarily and honourably handed over the reins on 20th March 2019.

Truly, no good deed goes unpunished. Showing that distasteful combination of hypocrisy and lack of loyalty to others which he shares with Nick Griffin, Nigel Farage (whose private views on immigration especially after a few large pink gins are, as your correspondent can attest from personal experience, shall we say, less moderate than his public stance) described some of Ms Blaiklock's trenchant remarks about Islam on Twitter (a medium that does rather encourage intemperate discourse) as "horrible and intolerant" and elbowed her out of any leading role in the one man band and Farage personality cult masquerading as a political party called the Brexit Party.



Fellow speakers at this year's TBG Conference included (*clockwise from above left*): Estonia's Minister of Finance Martin Helme; AfD MEP Bernhard Ziminiok; Gregory Lauder-Frost, Vice-President of TBG and Brexit Party founder Catherine Blaiklock;

Miss Blaiklock is a paradoxical figure, speaking from the depths of her heart about the harm that mass immigration has done to our country and our people, despite being married to a Jamaican after divorcing a Nepalese Sherpa! Her own family, she said, looked like the United Nations!

Miss Blaiklock was very clear that Brexit is not enough. After Brexit we must move on to address immigration unconstrained by the prevailing liberal discourse.

While your humble correspondent is less and less enthusiastic about Brexit at all, especially given the rise of national populist parties across Europe, so that the chances of a more united Europe being in line with Sir Oswald Mosley's vision, rather than Ted Heath's, are growing, that is admittedly a minority view in British nationalist circles. We can all at any rate agree that when (or if) Brexit in some shape or form eventually happens, the issue of immigration will once more be to the fore.

All in all, a most successful day despite one or two minor glitches, notably with the public address system, ingeniously resolved with a book for a prop by our genial master of ceremonies, Gregory Lauder-Frost. Let's hope for an even bigger gathering in 2020!

Tony Paulsen, London, England

Editor's note: Those who wish to join yet perhaps live too far from London (it is a rather London-centric organisation, less in terms of membership, than where the meetings are held) might wish to visit its web page **www.traditionalbritain.org** *or subscribe to its Twitter feed* **@TradBritGroup** *TBG can also be contacted by writing to,* **Traditional Britain Group, BCM Box 9045, London, WC1N 3XX.**

Opening the Files on Race "Criminals' in Modern Britain Part III

Robert Relf was briefly the country's most famous 'racist' when he was jailed at Birmingham County Court in May 1976 – not for racial incitement, but for contempt of court. He had been an active national socialist since the early 1960s in Colin Jordan's National Socialist Movement and British Movement, and in mid-1973 he put up his house on Cowdray Close, Leamington Spa, for sale, displaying a sign which originally stated: "To avoid animosity all round, positively no coloureds." He later substituted a sign that included the phrase "English family only".

This was interpreted as a breach of the 1965 Act, and the Race Relations Board ordered Relf to remove his notice. He refused to do so and in September 1974 the Board obtained a court order against him. In his defence Relf filed a defiant statement: "The notice was intended to convey the information that I intensely dislike coloured people. I have previously been convicted of 'offences' of a racialist nature and have suffered imprisonment three times, the last time being two years nine months for allegedly breaking a shop window, the property of an Indian.

"My attitude towards coloured people has not and will not change, and under no circumstances will I sell my house to a coloured buyer regardless of how the Race Relations Act persecutes me."

Due to this persistent defiance Relf was eventually brought to court for contempt: his incarceration was almost three years after his initial 'offence'. Soon after arriving at Winson Green prison, 51-year-old Relf began a hunger strike and his case attracted nationwide publicity. Establishment politicians feared that he might die in prison and become a 'race martyr'. Though Relf's background had been BM rather than NF, the Front made great political capital from his case.

After more than a month on hunger strike, a government official known as the 'Official Solicitor' intervened and obtained Relf's release on medical grounds, with the Birmingham judge accepting: "Mr Relf has defied the law but the law which he defied is also merciful, and it would be quite wrong if his health were endangered to a greater extent than it has been."

Relf insisted that despite his prison ordeal the offending sign would remain: it was stolen within days and burned during an 'anti-racist' demonstration in Southall, West London. For a few months the NF promoted Relf as its prospective parliamentary candidate for the Staffordshire constituency of Warley East (which under its earlier boundaries as Smethwick had seen a famous Conservative victory following a 'racist' campaign in 1964), but his fundamental political loyalty to Colin Jordan soon made it impossible for him to remain in the Front – he was expelled in October 1976.

Relf continued to be active alongside a small West Midlands faction of BM members close to Jordan. Between December 1977 and May 1978 leaflets referring to "nigger muggers" and "unsupervised wogs" were pasted on shop windows in Leamington Spa. Police investigations quickly established that these leaflets/posters were produced by Relf and Mike Cole, the Warwick BM member who was acquitted on quite separate racial incitement charges in July 1978.

The two activists were committed by Warwick magistrates in September 1978 and convicted by an Oxford Crown Court jury in January 1979. Cole was given a six-month suspended sentence, but Relf was jailed for fifteen months. Though by 21st century standards *H&D* readers will think this a light sentence, Relf and Cole appealed both against their conviction and against the length of Relf's sentence.

(*above*) National Front marchers at Stafford in 1976, protesting at the imprisonment of "race martyr" Robert Relf. The case was a propaganda victory for the Front. (*below*) Mrs Sadie Relf addresses the NF rally.

This appeal was heard in March 1979 by a judicial panel ironically headed by Lord Justice Lawton – Sir Frederick Lawton – who almost half a century earlier had founded the Cambridge University Fascist Association and was a prominent member of Sir Oswald Mosley's BUF. Had the Second World War not intervened, Lawton would have been the BUF's parliamentary candidate for Hammersmith North, and MI5 files indicate that he was directly involved in obtaining secret funding for a proposed Fascist radio station to be based on the Channel island of Sark.

Sir Frederick's appeal ruling makes interesting reading. He was very concerned by legal errors in the crown court trial, which had resulted in an 'anti-fascist' leaflet wrongly being allowed as an exhibit and shown to the jury. Oddly this blatantly prejudicial leaflet had originally been introduced by the defence, who were seeking to discredit the main prosecution witness – a Mr Grenville – because of his long record of left-wing activism. Lord Justice Lawton said it was quite wrong to have allowed this irrelevant line of defence questioning:

"Our Courts are not to be used as jousting grounds for political cranks. Political trouble in the streets is not to be brought into the Courts. In this class of case, if counsel, or defendants in person, seek to pursue irrelevant political vendettas or issues in Court, they should be stopped at once by the Judge."

Relf had correctly asked that the Oxford jury should be discharged, because having been shown the 'anti-racist' leaflet they had been given prejudicial information about his previous convictions. As Lord Justice Lawton pointed out, "the applicant Relf seems to have had a far better knowledge of the law of evidence than either his counsel or – we regret to have to say this – the learned Judge."

However, while admitting that the leaflet was highly prejudicial, Lord Justice Lawton pointed out that this was an unusual case in that the facts were not in dispute, therefore evidence of Relf's "bad character" was immaterial, so "in this respect there was no miscarriage of justice", and the appeal against conviction was refused. Turning to the question of sentencing, Sir Frederick did not allow his own political history to incline him to leniency:

"He [Relf] is not a newcomer to the Courts. He objects strongly to the immigrant population.

"He is entitled to have what opinion he likes about the immigrant population. But what he is not entitled to do is to behave in the way he did, publishing and distributing leaflets which were abusive and insulting. It is sometimes forgotten that the common law of England for centuries has taken the view that it is an offence for anyone to stir up hostility against any section of the Sovereign's subjects. Most of the immigrants are now British citizens and those who are not are living under the protection of the Crown, and they too are entitled to be protected from those who wish to stir up hostility against them. Relf clearly does. We find it difficult to think of more abusive and insulting leaflets and notices than those with which the Court is concerned in this case. The sooner it is appreciated by those who think as Relf does that they cannot go round behaving in this sort of way, the better it will be for law and order in this country.

"It follows that if people do behave as Relf and Cole behaved, they must expect sentences of imprisonment and they should be sharp sentences."

As he had done three years earlier, Relf had gone on hunger strike, resulting in his transfer from Oxford to Winchester prison which had better medical facilities. This time the authorities had no intention of giving in, and Lord Justice Lawton was notably unsympathetic: "If he sees fit to commit suicide, and the prison authorities allow him to do so, that is his business, not the business of this Court. The Court is not going to be blackmailed by people like Relf into altering sentences merely because they have gone on hunger strike."

Martin Webster (then National Activities Organiser of the NF) staged a one-man march in Hyde, near Manchester, in October 1977 after a planned NF march was banned. A year later Webster was prosecuted under the race laws, and received a suspended prison sentence.

Moreover the learned ex-Fascist judge opined that despite there being little evidence of Relf's activities influencing others, "in this class of case constant repetition of lies might in the end lead some people into thinking that the lies are true. It is a matter of recent history that the constant repetition of lies in Central Europe led to the tragedy which came about in the years 1939 to 1945."

Nevertheless, Lawton and his fellow Appeal judges took the view that in all the circumstances of the case, fifteen months was too long a sentence. They quashed the original sentence and replaced it with a nine month sentence.

Meanwhile Relf's solicitors had sent an appeal to the Queen for his release on compassionate grounds. Senior Home Office civil servant Anthony Langdon concluded that even if/when Relf became "terminally ill" he should not be released, pointing out that the IRA hunger striker Frank Stagg had been allowed to die in Wakefield Prison in 1976: "So far as I am concerned, it would be asinine to use the 'terminally ill' category to release people for their last day or two when they had been entirely responsible for their own medical condition."

Britain's most senior civil servant, Cabinet Secretary Sir Robert Armstrong agreed. On March 16th 1979 he wrote to colleagues that some sort of ministerial statement should be made drawing attention to the decision taken in the mid-'70s to abandon 'force-feeding' of hunger strikers: "Mr Relf and his associates would then have been put on clear notice that the decision whether or not to die was entirely up to him." However on March 20th the situation was resolved when Relf agreed to start taking glucose added to orange juice, and gradually moved back to taking solid food – in effect abandoning his hunger strike. *H&D* understands from a reliable source that Relf's decision was influenced by Colin Jordan, who advised him that his dying on hunger strike would merely be a publicity boost for Martin Webster and the National Front, whom Relf by this time hated!

In the meantime Relf had been excused from standing trial for yet another political charge dating from the previous year – this time criminal damage, caused by daubing the slogan "Send the wogs back – NF" on a bridge parapet. The charge was allowed to lie on file, but Relf's co-accused Leslie Parsons and John Kingham, both of Leamington, were convicted and fined. Relf was released from prison at the end of July 1979. He was back in court in September 1982, this time as a defence witness for cartoonist Robert Edwards, who was jailed for 12 months for his role in producing a 'racist' comic, *The Stormer*. Relf was himself convicted again in November 1982 for sending "grossly offensive" postcards to the Queen and the Prince of Wales.

In 1991 Relf was in trouble again after writing to the lady chairman of Cheltenham Conservative Association, following the selection of a black Conservative parliamentary candidate: "Those people who voted for John Taylor should be strung up by their bollocks outside Conservative Party headquarters. Perhaps you approve of England becoming a nation of half-breeds." Magistrates fined Relf £75.

Relf's final court appearances were in 2002 when (aged 77) he was jailed for seven days for refusing to complete his census form, then a few months later was fined for sending offensive postcards to Warwick police station. Robert Relf died in October 2014, aged 90, an unrepentant national socialist.

A high-profile trial for 'inciting racial hatred' took place in October 1979, five months after the election of Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher whom many voters had naively believed would end Britain's experiment with multiracialism.

British Movement leader Michael McLaughlin had been charged for producing leaflets and stickers from BM's national headquarters in the North Wales town of Shotton. One of these stickers had allegedly been left behind by White youths after they carried out a 'racist' attack on two Indian brothers in the West London suburb of Hayes, and similar stickers were found by police when they were called to Woolwich College in south-east London after racial disturbances at the end of 1978.

McLaughlin was committed for trial by magistrates on March 27th 1979 and stood trial at Mold Crown Court six months later. In common with several other movement activists down the years, he (probably ill-advisedly) sacked his lawyers and conducted his own defence, proclaiming his "belief that this is a politically-motivated trial using race as an excuse," and that the government was seeking to silence British Movement. The trial judge told McLaughlin: "I will not allow this court to be a forum or platform for political or extreme views of any sort."

On October 17th the jury found McLaughlin guilty on several counts of inciting racial hatred, and he was jailed for four months (which seemed draconian at the time but by 21st century standards was a very light sentence). There are two files on this prosecution, but a decision was made four years ago to keep these closed until 2064, which in the context of other similar files seems an awfully long time. Quite possibly this extended closure is intended to protect the identity of one or more police informants inside British Movement.

Similarly one file on the 1978 prosecution of Martin Webster, then the NF's national organiser, remains closed until 2059. Webster was charged in October 1978 on two "incitement to racial hatred" charges relating to articles in the 'Nightmare' column of *National Front News*, listing crimes committed by black immigrants. Judge Arthur Figgis at Kingston Crown Court imposed six-month suspended

sentences for each charge, plus a £150 fine and £530 costs. He said he was suspending the jail sentences because "I do not want to make a martyr of you. ...What was published was published as a matter of deliberate policy and it was published in full knowledge of the risks you ran. Inciting racial hatred in this country at this time is something that can have the direst consequences."

Joe Pearce, 20-year-old editor of the NF's youth magazine *Bulldog*, was charged in April 1981 with five (eventually six) "racial hatred" offences. The first Old Bailey jury in August 1981 failed to agree a verdict, so Pearce faced a retrial the following month, which had to be abandoned on a technicality. A third trial was held in January 1982, ending in Pearce's conviction and a six-month jail sentence. Prosecuting counsel was Allan Green QC, who was later knighted and rose to become Director of Public Prosecutions from 1987 to 1991 before he was forced to resign after being spotted "kerb-crawling" in a notorious vice-ridden area near King's Cross, London.

Pearce's defence counsel David Martin-Sperry had told the jury that some of the *Bulldog* material for which his client was being prosecuted had been lifted almost verbatim from mainstream newspapers, including the *Daily Express*. Mr Martin-Sperry added:

"And don't be put off by words like 'swamp'. They've been used by the Prime Minister. There was a police operation in Brixton last year and the name of that operation was Swamp 81. It may be an offensive word, but that word is not going to tiptoe out of the English language because it has been used in connection with the number of immigrants to enter the country."

Lady Birdwood speaking at the first public activity of the newly formed British National Party in Central London, April 1982. The St George's Day march was on the theme 'Keep the Falklands British'. Authorities repeatedly discussed prosecuting Lady Birdwood during the 1980s, and eventually did so in 1991 and 1994.

Yet again the Pearce trial debated whether one could be convicted simply for reporting the truth. Mr Martin-Sperry told the jury that a *Bulldog* section headed "Black Crime" was "a page of articles that are all true. He's making a point. He's looking at the press and saying 'you're all selective. I see these are racial attacks and I feel the public ought to be told.' You may think he's wrong, it's in bad taste, but are you going to say he's not allowed to do it?"

After debating for more than four hours, the Old Bailey jury decided by a 10-2 majority that Pearce was indeed not allowed to write the truth about black crime. Judge Peter Mason passing sentence denounced *Bulldog* in extreme terms: "The damage done to society by this magazine is out of all proportion to its circulation and importance. ...The material is rubbish, evil and dangerous rubbish. It does nothing whatever to further peace in our society. It endangers friendship between people and stirs up hatred among the young and irresponsible and causes trouble."

Note that Judge Mason did not suggest that the articles in *Bulldog* were untrue, but nevertheless in his view they were "evil and dangerous rubbish." This reflects the underlying principle of British race law, based on mediaeval concepts of public order, that people should be jailed if their political opinions endangered "peace" in society.

More than three years later Pearce was charged with further racial hatred offences in relation to later issues of *Bulldog*. At first NF chairman Ian Anderson was also charged, after police raided his home and found more than 550 copies of the offending magazines, but Anderson successfully argued that they could have been left by other people over whom he had no control. Anderson was acquitted, but Pearce was convicted and this time jailed for twelve months. The file on this Pearce-Anderson prosecution is closed until 2066.

With all these files still closed, it is of course difficult to say for sure, but it seems likely that the British state took a calculated decision to use the race laws against leaders of each significant faction in British racial nationalism. What also seems likely is that it was considered much easier to prosecute in relation to stickers, posters and tabloid papers – i.e. the simpler forms of political propaganda – than to bring charges against authors or publishers of more substantial, intellectual publications. It was naturally easier to convince ordinary folk on juries to bring guilty verdicts if they were confronted by a sticker lampooning a thick-lipped negro, rather than a four-page magazine article about the historical influence of Anglo-Jewry.

The first race law convictions of members of John Tyndall's British National Party were in March 1986, when the BNP's south-west regional organiser David Bearsford-Walker and three fellow activists were convicted and fined for distributing 'racist' leaflets to children outside schools in Devon and Cornwall. His father Len Bearsford-Walker and another member were acquitted.

Four months later BNP leader John Tyndall and John Morse, editor of the party newspaper *British Nationalist*, were jailed for twelve months at Southwark Crown Court after conviction on several race law charges, involving BNP publications and an issue of Tyndall's magazine *Spearhead*. Passing sentence, Judge Derek Clarkson said: "This was a sustained and unlawful campaign – and that by intelligent and articulate men, which you both are, and you agreed with others to advocate and publicise ideas in terms and expressions that breach the law." Three volumes of official files on the Tyndall-Morse case are closed until 2062.

Lady (Jane) Birdwood was a very prolific racial nationalist activist who remained independent of the various parties and factions, producing leaflets in the name of her *Choice* organisation which repeatedly came to the attention of police and prosecutors. A National Archives file documents discussion during 1985 over whether to bring charges against Lady Birdwood over various *Choice* anti-immigration leaflets. There is a suggestion that *Choice* was at some time (probably the late 1970s) associated in some way with the English Nationalist Party, a small party best known for recruiting ex-Labour minister John Stonehouse. However (perhaps because of the Stonehouse connection and associated security issues) the first volume of the Birdwood/*Choice* file remains closed.

In the published volume we can read interesting discussions among prosecutors as to the considerations that sometimes delayed or prevented prosecutions. On repeated occasions through the early 1980s police and anti-racist groups kept referring *Choice* leaflets to the Crown Prosecution Service, but on balance it was decided not to prosecute. Sometimes the issue was that there was insufficient evidence of the leaflets being widely distributed; on other occasions that the circumstances of distribution made it unlikely that racial hatred would be stirred up, even if the leaflets' contents might be deemed insulting or offensive.

One consideration in those days (which I expect would not apply today) was that if the only evidence of distribution was in an affluent White area, it might be thought unlikely to have stirred up hatred. Distribution in a working-class or racially mixed area was assumed to be potentially more inflammatory.

As many readers will remember, Lady Birdwood was eventually prosecuted and convicted under the race laws in 1991 and 1994: however no official files on these prosecutions are yet available. It seems very likely that these files are held back because of sensitive material related to a long-term state informant, Peter Marriner, who was for many years close to Lady Birdwood's organisation. The next instalment of this series will analyse a very different case where Marriner assisted 'antifascists' and police investigations.

Peter Rushton, Manchester, England

Book Review: *False Flags Over Europe – A Modern History of State-Fabricated Terror* by Dr Nicholas Kollerstrom

False Flags Over Europe – A Modern History of State-Fabricated Terror by Dr Nicholas Kollerstrom; Published by Astro Industries, 2018; ISBN 978-0957279971; Softback; 274 pages; Available for £15 from www.amazon.co.uk or $20 from www.amazon.com

This diligently researched, colourfully illustrated set of accounts would be highly rated and in huge demand if it were a novel. And it would be even more popular as its revelations about terror attacks contradict official stories. But it is not a novel and it is not yet acclaimed because it discovers the terror attacks were not by Muslims but by covert conspirators in Israel's Mossad, the CIA and MI6.



Fifteen terror attacks in Europe were under 'false flags', from the bomb at Israel's London Embassy in 1994, to the Westminster Bridge attack in 2017. The 'false flag' tag derives from Lord Cecil's tactic to sabotage the Spanish Armada by sailing into Cadiz flying 'friendly' Dutch flags which blew up amongst the preparatory Spanish fleet, delaying its launch.

The Catesby/Fawkes' Gunpowder Plot is cited as a nother false flag attempt to blame Catholics for blowing up Parliament.

Muslims are blamed for 'terrorism' under false flags, to stir up Islamophobia. This helps Israel attack Palestinians and their Muslim supporters with alacrity. By stealth, the Muslim world has been cast as an 'enemy of the West' as incited in Samuel Huntingdon's *The Clash of Civilizations*. This has emboldened Israeli lobbyists in the main Parties in the UK and USA, to frog-march our forces to fight their wars and decimate Muslim nations such as Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya and Syria. Those countries harboured Palestinian refugees and tried to counter Zionist massacres. Israel plotted to infiltrate Muslim nations with *agents provocateurs* to sow dissent and bring down governments. The 'Arab Spring' was an arm of this strategy, aided by George Soros's 'Foundation for Democracy'. Even if you prefer the 'Muslims-did-it' theory, these revelations give pause for doubt.

The relevance to Nationalism is that we, and most nations, are being incredibly deceived: Deceived by the media that Muslims are 'the enemy,' to be regarded with suspicion, ostracised, denied positions and jobs; deceived that Zionism is 'friendly' not to be criticised; and deceived into assuming that terrorism is Islamic when, generally, it is bred in Israel. Israel founded itself terrorising Palestinians from their ancient homeland. Three of its Prime Ministers led Zionist terror gangs, like Hagana and Irgun. They terrorised the British Army out of Palestine, murdering thousands and blowing up our HQ in Jerusalem in 1946. They dressed as Palestinians to dupe us to punish them. Our withdrawal left Zionists to escalate their genocide of the Palestinians and devastate neighbouring nations. Jez Turner courageously memorialised British casualties at the Cenotaph in 2017 but was also terrorised by Zionist extremists shouting "kill him, kill him" and even getting him unjustly imprisoned for 'racial hatred'. It is Zionist attacks on innocent people which create hatred, not the exposing of their crimes.

Deception of our governments to limit all our freedoms is a weapon of Zionist repression; denying free speech, justice and the sanctity of peace treaties. The outrageous deception that 'Muslims' orchestrated the '9-11' attacks in 2001 (see Kollerstrom's *Who Did '9-11'?*), exemplifies how such propaganda twists minds of leaders like Bush and Blair, fooled by hoax threats, like Saddam's absent WMD, thus inflicting wars on Iraq and Afghanistan. Those wars were unlawful, based on fake data from Mossad, as indicated by its ex-officer, Victor Ostrovsky in *The Other Side of Deception*, by ex-CIA intern Susan Lindauer in her testimony *Extreme Prejudice* and by Italian President Francesco Cossiga. They benefitted only Israel.

Immigration of large numbers of any foreigners in a short period generates friction. The influx of Muslims since 1991 largely stems from joining those US-Israeli wars driving out terrified families. Any religion that instils new customs is fearsome. But Islam's codes of behaviour are similar to ours; outlawing usury, extortion, dishonesty, lewdness, homosexuality, same-sex marriage and major crimes such as murder and unprovoked war. Instead, we are gradually succumbing to Old Testament, Talmudic codes such as deception, sexual deviance, miscegenation, murder and war. That self-serving code is little known, consequently rarely condemned and thus more easily imposed on an unsuspecting public. The power of their lobby is exposed by persistent cries of 'anti-Semitism' (a misnomer for 'anti-Zionism') excoriating the Labour Party for criticising Israeli atrocities. Other parties are similarly subverted, as is the media and thus the public mind generally, by the Anti-Defamation League, funded by billions of Zionist dollars, as Whitney Webb's research on the Epstein files reveals in 'Mega Group: Maxwell & Mossad' – see **mintpressnews.com**.

Israelis have been guilty of false flag attacks, blaming Muslims, since the fake state was conceived and violently planted in the God-forsaken country of Palestine. In the 'Six-Day War' in 1973, Israelis even bombed the unarmed US ship, *Liberty*, blaming Egypt, inciting the US to devastate another State friendly to Palestine. Nuclear-armed bombers took off for Cairo but were luckily re-called after Russia revealed the truth to Washington. Here we summarise more of Kollerstrom's crucial discoveries.

Israel staged the bombing of its London Embassy in 1994. Nobody was in at the time; CCTV was ineffective; MI6 was warned; evidence was spirited away; the trial was *in camera* and crucial documents still withheld under Public Interest Immunity claims. Is this to protect our 'public interest' or Israel's? Two Palestinians were sentenced to nine years on fake charges and had nothing to gain from the attack. Instead it helped Israel denigrate Palestinians and 'justify' more horrific attacks on them.

The Madrid train disaster in 2004 involved ten bombs on four trains. Police 'found' an unexploded bomb full of nuts and bolts inferring all the bombs were similar. But none of the 191 killed, nor the 1,800 injured, had nuts or bolts injuries. Here are six of twelve hints that events were staged: The extra bomb was in a van with a Koran and Muslim passports, detonators and a video in Arabic. But would the bombers be so foolish as to leave their details? By coincidence, NATO held an anti-terror exercise the day before, but



reports of it were 'classified'. No CCTV showed the 'bombers' at any station. The explosive was military-grade. twenty four of the twenty nine alleged perpetrators were police informers, as *El Mundo* reported six weeks later. Two of the suicides' bodies were later found in a swimming pool with explosive belts.

The London Tube and bus bombs in 2005 had similar oddities; a 'terror drill' to test the emergency services was being run simultaneously in the same locations (*see panel on page 15*); a Koran was found with an extra bomb containing shrapnel, whereas victims had no shrapnel injuries; crucial CCTV was missing. It did show the alleged bombers at Luton station but Kollerstrom discovered the train they needed (to be at King's Cross before the bombing) was cancelled. A carriage floor was blown up from below. One 'suicide bomber' was shown to the Inquest at four locations. Two were later shot by police at Canary Wharf. Israeli Foreign Minister, Netanyahu, in London for a Tel Aviv Economic Summit that day, was warned of attacks and stayed in his hotel. Surveillance on the Underground is by Israeli companies Verint Systems and ICTS. Former Mossad agent, Juval Aviv, let slip in an interview; "It's easy to put a bomb as we did…[then correcting himself] - as happened - in London." (p.79). He is the central character in Spielberg's *Vengeance: The True Story of an Israeli Counter-Terrorist Team*. Several other pointers are drawn to Israel's foreknowledge of the events and to its abilities to undertake them and predict a suitable reaction.

World leaders gathered in Paris on January 10th 2015 to express the political elite's 'solidarity' after the shootings at *Charlie Hebdo*'s offices three days earlier – one of the notorious 'terrorist' attacks closely examined by Nick Kollerstom. (front review left to right: European Commission President Jean-Claude Juncker; Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu; Mali's President Ibrahim Boubacar Keita; French President François Hollande; German Chancellor Angela Merkel; European Council President Donald Tusk; and Palestinian President Mahmoud Abbas.)

Breivik's attacks in Norway in 2011 also had Israeli links. Anders Breivik was a Zionist. His stated motive was; 'too many Muslims were flooding into Norway'. He also condemned Marxism and multiculturalism. In this we would concur. His manifesto said: "So let us fight with Israel, our Zionist brothers, against all Marxists/multiculturalists." But Zionism is only anti-multiculturalism for Israel, other nations must endure it. His victims were Labour Youth chanting "Boycott and sanction Israeli goods". Norway's Foreign Minister concurred. Norway brokered the Oslo Accord between Israel and Palestine in 1993 for a Palestinian State and an end to occupation. Netanyahu reneged on this plan in his 1996 policy; 'A Clean Break' [from Oslo]. So Breivik may have had a little help using military-grade explosive to blow up Norwegian government offices, and in rushing to Utoya Island to kill young activists, as suggested by Richard Cottrell in *Gladio; NATO's Dagger at the Heart of Europe*. As in London, CCTV images were scarce until two months later, after many were erased.

The murder of Drummer Lee Rigby in May 2013, was blamed on a Muslim with a machete. But Kollerstrom went to great trouble to check photos, questioning why no blood from the severed head and why the killers stayed on the scene until Police arrived. Whatever conclusion one draws there are enough unanswered questions to doubt the official story.

The Paris *Charlie Hebdo* plot on 7th Jan. 2015 was after France's Parliament voted to recognise Palestine, requiring Israel to withdraw to the 1967 borders. "Did Netanyahu decide to teach France an anti-Islam lesson?" Kollerstrom asks, and then queries some reports. The *Hebdo* office was empty. The magazine was in debt by €200,000, tellingly bailed out by Edward de Rothschild. But an estranged member of that family asserted to columnist Lasha Darkmoon that the bombers were not Muslims but Mossad agents, Avigdor and Maloch. One, though disguised, left an Arab identity card in the getaway car, abandoned outside a patisserie frequented by Zionists. The Police Chief reporting the incident was found dead the next day. Jean-Marie le Pen concluded it was the work of Western intelligence. His house was later set on fire. Israeli IBA TV happened to be on the roof covering the incident. The pro-Zionist *Charlie Hebdo* magazine was vulgarly anti-Islam and anti-Christian. The Jewish Shomrim police in fake Met Police cars, as exposed by Jez Turner, patrolled London on the following days, no doubt hunting 'anti-Semites'.

The Bataclan Theatre had just been sold by Zionists before it was bombed on November 13th. After the *Hebdo* event an Anti-Terror Bill authorized almost unlimited surveillance online, plus a form of martial law with thousands of troops on France's streets. Terrorists would be even less likely to evade all this than before without State complicity. Judge Trevedic predicted two months before the first attack; "The attacks in France will be on a scale comparable to '9-11'" (*Paris Match*, October 2015). As on '7-7' Police ran "an exercise for multi-site attacks on that very morning" according to consultant Pierre Carli (*see panel*). Yet they took two hours to arrive at the scene. President Hollande immediately claimed "We know who these criminals are [and] where from" before any investigation. He declared a new 'State of Emergency'. But the Arab passport police found in pristine condition after the bombing, turned out to be a Syrian soldier's who died months earlier.

A story of French Intelligence Chief Yves Bonnet making secret pacts with Palestinians to allow them access on condition they did no harm, is probably being wheeled out now to counter Kollerstrom's claims (*Sunday Times*, 11 August 2019).

The Brussels Airport bomb on 22nd March 2016 is analysed in forensic detail questioning stories about Kalashnikovs evading airport security, conflicting official and eyewitness accounts, one by an Israeli who also happened to be at two other terror attacks. The Belgian government had just agreed to recognise Palestinian statehood and support the Boycott Campaign. Israeli Minister Ofir Akunis instantly warned the EU that such anti-Israel policies bred 'Islamic' attacks. Security by ICTS, owned by Israelis Ezra Harel

and Menachem Atzmon, was oddly deficient on the day. Israeli newspaper *Haaretz* reported (23 March): "Security services knew… attacks were planned for the airport." Again, there had been a terror-drill in Antwerp the day before. Kollerstrom finds that ISIS web-sites are linked to our DWP. He links the date, 22nd March, a full-moon lunar eclipse, to the Jewish Holy Day of Purim when Esther countered King Xerxes' plan to eradicate Jews from Persia in c. 400 BC, by murdering his guards. Linking such seemingly unconnected events is what our Intelligence Services are paid for, not conspiring in fake terror for a foreign agenda.

The attack in Nice also has odd elements. The lorry was being filmed before it hit anyone by Richard Gutjahr, who also happened to film the Munich disaster. His wife Einat Wilf was an Israeli foreign policy adviser. Kollerstrom shows photos of bodies in impossible positions which are likely to be dummies. Astoundingly, the Interior Ministry told the Nice authorities to destroy all CCTV footage. Finally, many of those reported dead, including the driver, allegedly shot by Police, were still alive: Their identities were stolen. The event was clearly staged.

The Westminster Bridge attack is dissected in minute detail exposing lack of evidence, inconsistencies, changed stories, a Masonic helicopter, fake bodies, a planted manifesto, no CCTV, murder of the suspect and many other anomalies, making fascinating reading but for which space does not permit a summary.

Conclusion: The facts behind these events echo the '9-11' story in the USA: The fictional terrorists make no demands, nor do they benefit from the attacks; evidence is found but none suggesting they are suicidal; they attack the public who are no threat to them; they are instantly accused as Muslim extremists before any inquiry, and; Israel is implicated in nearly every case and is the sole beneficiary.

If that foreign power is manipulating our home security to stir up Islamophobia and goad us to another war, for example against Iran, it must be interdicted before there is more bloodshed and devastation, leading to poverty and more migration. It also damages the UK's reputation for justice, human rights, democratic freedoms of speech and association and independence from dictatorial powers-that-should-not-be. Nick Kollerstom's book is valuable testimony for challenging those threats.

Reviewed by Dr James B. Thring, Three Bridges, East Sussex

Subscribe to Heritage and Destiny

To make sure of your regular copy of *Heritage and Destiny* why not become a subscriber? The following rates are for the next six issues: British Isles £28.00; USA/Canada $52.00; Worldwide £35.00/$52.00.

Bulk Rates

Discounts for bulk purchases are as follows: 10 copies – £18.00; 20 copies – £30.00; 30 copies – £40.00: 40 copies - £50.00 Postage is free within the UK. For over 50 copies in the UK or US/Worldwide rates please contact our office.

Back Issues

All back issues (numbers 1-93) are also available at £4.00/$6.00 each (post-paid).

Enamel Pin Badges now available

We now have the following high quality Patriotic enamel pin badges for sale: I Stand With Soldier F; Billy Fullerton Loyal; Enoch was Right; Union Jack flag; St. George Cross flag; St. Andrew's Cross flag; Welsh Dragon flag; Northern Ireland flag; St. Patrick's Cross flag; Red Hand; Red, White & Black Celtic Cross; Red, White & Blue Celtic Cross; Black & White Celtic Cross; Keep St. George in My Heart; English & Proud; England No Surrender; 100% English; 100% Loyalist; England flag shield; English Red Rose; English White Rose; English 3 Lions; Ulster Loyalist; English Bulldog; English Lion; England Youth Firm; Chrome Celtic Cross; Chrome Tree of Life; Chrome Sunwheel; Chrome Wolf Hook; Chrome Odal Rune; Tree of Life Round Red; Tree of Life Round Blue; NF; BNP; BDP; Blood & Honour shield; Skrewdriver – England; Infidels shield; Templar Knight shield; Red, White & Blue Sunwheel; Red, White & Silver Sunwheel; Crossed St. George & Union Jack flags; Crossed St. George & Confederate flags; Crossed St. George and White Dragon flags; Scottish Defence League; Refugees Not Welcome; Black Sun; Thor's Hammer. £3.00 ($6.00) each or any six (mixed) for £15.00 ($30.00) (post-paid).

Patriotic Flags now available

We now have the following good quality 3x5 flags for sale at £10.00/$20.00 each: Odinic Raven; Old South African; Rhodesian; Celtic Cross (b/w); Celtic Cross (r/w/b); English White Dragon; England St George; Northern Ireland; Welsh Red Dragon; Scotland St Andrew; Ireland St Patrick; Welsh Red Dragon; Isle of Man; SAS Union Jack; Poppy Union Jack; RAF Ensign; Northern Ireland 6 Counties; Royal Standard; Orange Order; Purple Standard; AWB 777; White Rose Yorkshire; Confederate (3rd National); and Confederate Battle. For overseas orders please add another £5.00 ($10.00) for postage.

Donations

Income from sales and subscriptions of our magazine are not enough to cover the high cost of production, mailing and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so we can keep going. Every Pound, Dollar or even Euro counts, so please try and send in whatever you can afford.

Payment Details

Cheques or Money orders should be made payable to Heritage and Destiny and sent to; 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE, UK. Please do not send cash, unless by recorded delivery.

Book Review: *Our Man in New York* by Henry Hemming

Our Man in New York: The British Plot to Bring America into the Second World War, by Henry Hemming. Published by Quercus, 2019. ISBN: 978-1787474826. 371 pages. US edition published under title *Agents of Influence*. Hardback. Available for £20 from Quercus Editions Ltd, Carmelite House, 50 Victoria Embankment, London, EC4Y 0DZ, www.quercusbooks.co.uk, and from www.amazon.com

"I have in my possession a secret map made in Germany by Hitler's government, by the planners of the new world order. ...This map makes clear the Nazi design not only against South America but against the United States itself."

So spoke US President Franklin D. Roosevelt to Washington's elite gathered in the Mayflower Hotel to mark Navy Day – 27th October 1941. He went on to warn that this sinister map directly threatened vital American interests by incorporating the Panama Canal – America's lifeline – in a new Nazi-dominated Central American state.

Moreover the President asserted that his government had "in its possession another document made in Germany by Hitler's government. It is a detailed plan, which, for obvious reasons, the Nazis did not wish and do not wish to publicize just yet, but which they are ready to impose a little later on a dominated world – if Hitler wins. It is a plan to abolish all existing religions – Protestant, Catholic, Mohammedan, Hindu, Buddhist and Jewish alike. The property of all churches will be seized by the Reich and its puppets. The cross and all other symbols of religion are to be forbidden. The clergy are to be forever silenced under penalty of the concentration camps, where even now so many fearless men are being tortured because they have placed God above Hitler. In the place of the churches of our civilization, there is to be set up an International Nazi Church – a church which will be served by orators sent out by the Nazi Government. In the place of the Bible, the words of *Mein Kampf* will be imposed and enforced as Holy Writ. And in place of the cross of Christ will be put two symbols – the swastika and the naked sword. A God of Blood and Iron will take the place of the God of Love and Mercy. Let us well ponder that statement which I have made tonight."

Six weeks before Pearl Harbor, Roosevelt deployed these fiendish nazi plans as he summoned his fellow Americans to prepare for war:

"We are pledged to pull our own oar in the destruction of Hitlerism. And when we have helped to end the curse of Hitlerism we shall help to establish a new peace which will give to decent people everywhere a better chance to live and prosper in security and in freedom and in faith. Each day that passes we are producing and providing more and more arms for the men who are fighting on actual battle-fronts. That is our primary task.

"...Today in the face of this newest and greatest challenge of them all we Americans have cleared our decks and taken our battle stations."

The speech was broadcast across America and around the world, both on radio and in cinemas as a lead item on Pathé newsreels (one of which can be viewed via the *H&D* website). It would be many decades before the 'nazi' map and document flourished by the President were revealed as forgeries. They had been produced not in Berlin but by a Jewish songwriter called Eric Maschwitz, best known for hits including *A Nightingale Sang in Berkeley Square* and *These Foolish Things*, but by 1941 employed by a top secret propaganda outfit called British Security Coordination.

BSC's leader was William Stephenson, main subject of Henry Hemming's new book *Our Man in New York*. During his Caribbean retirement, Stephenson worked assiduously to craft fake versions of his own history, with the result that he is still known to many readers of popular history by the title of an earlier and very inaccurate biography, *A Man Called Intrepid*. (In fact he was never at any stage of his career known by the codename 'Intrepid'.)

During June-July 1941, Maschwitz and fellow BSC officer Montgomery Hyde (a Belfast-born barrister and future MP), had forged a letter supposedly proving German plots for a coup in Bolivia, then presented the fake letter to FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover, who eagerly dashed to the White House with this 'evidence' of Nazi subversion in America's backyard. The non-existent Bolivian coup plot was blazoned across hundreds of American newspapers, and suspected Nazi sympathisers were rounded up by Bolivian police.

Like Mafia hitmen disposing of a murder weapon, Maschwitz and Hyde headed for the Brooklyn Bridge late one night and dumped the customised typewriter used for their Bolivian forgery into the East River. Realising that his activities were highly illegal and would be dynamite in the hands of American opponents of war, Maschwitz hastily relocated across the border to Canada, setting up a large-scale BSC forgery factory on the outskirts of Toronto known as 'Station M'.

Following this propaganda success, BSC officer Ivar Bryce (a lifelong friend of James Bond's creator Ian Fleming) joined forces with Maschwitz to create the forgeries that fuelled Roosevelt's Navy Day speech – a document supposedly showing German plans for the redrawing of Latin America's political map; and a plan for replacing the world's religions. It seems incredible today that the latter (in particular) could have been believed, but perhaps the BSC story helps us reassess an even bigger historical lie.

Hemming puts a persuasive case that Roosevelt's Navy Day speech was the biggest single factor in persuading Adolf Hitler that White House policy was irretrievably bent on war. Thus it could be argued that Maschwitz's forgery ultimately led to Hitler's decision to join with Japan in declaring war after Pearl Harbor. Quite accurately in his speech to the Reichstag following the declaration of war, Hitler stated that Roosevelt's government had "continually been guilty of the most severe provocations toward Germany ever since the outbreak of the European war". He referred to the President's "shameless misrepresentations of truth", which Hemming reasonably takes as a clear reference to the use of forgeries in Roosevelt's Navy Day speech.

(Incidentally one could view Hitler's decision to join Japan's declaration of war as evidence of honesty and loyalty rather than a strategic blunder. Hemming points out that earlier in 1941, when it became obvious that Roosevelt was bent on war with Germany, Hitler frequently sought reassurance from his diplomats that Japan would stand by him and declare war on the US when the inevitable Washington-Berlin conflict erupted.)

There is another document buried in the UK National Archives that tells us about another of Eric Maschwitz's forgeries. Perhaps Henry Hemming never saw this document, or perhaps for whatever reason he chose not to share it with his readers.

'Big Bill' and 'Little Bill', two of the most influential figures in the conspiracy to bring the USA into the Second World War, seen here in 1946 as William Donovan (*above left*) pins the Medal of Merit on Sir William Stephenson, head of the MI6 station in New York.

On 26th November 1941, a month after his forged documents had been deployed by President Roosevelt in his Navy Day speech, Eric Maschwitz wrote to a senior official of the Special Operations Executive, Britain's lead agency for 'dirty tricks', including (at that stage of the war) black propaganda.

I shall be publishing a book later this year about this and related matters, but *H&D* readers can be allowed a quick preview here. Maschwitz wrote:

"If asked to do so, my Section could quite easily provide a regular supply of atrocity pictures, manufactured by us in Canada.

"Existing pictures available from occupied territory are so indifferent in quality, owing to over-reproduction, that it would be useless to attempt to use these as a basis for 'fakes' and that, therefore, we should make new photographs, using models, etc.

"Any scheme for a regular supply of pictures would require the full-time services of a first-class photographer and dark room man, and of someone with the right talents and experience to devise, pose and supervise the pictures. I have no doubt that as far as the working photographer is concerned we can get an absolutely first-class one in Canada itself, but I am doubtful about finding the right 'impresario' there and I feel that if the scheme is approved we should arrange to send someone out on the job, preferably someone of an imaginative nature who has had film studio experience.

"...The making of such pictures would involve the buying and hiring of costumes, the manufacture of small pieces of scenery and of dummies, the part-time services of a first-class make-up man, etc., all of which should be, for safety, carried out under some sort of cover.

"...For the sake of accuracy we should be provided from some source with as complete a library as possible of photographs of German personnel, equipment, vehicles, etc., and that, if possible, we should also have actual specimens of German steel helmets, equipment, weapons, etc., to serve as models.

"...The most obvious setting for atrocity pictures at the moment is Russia, so that we should get to work while there is still snow in Canada. I have no doubt that, as already in the case of 'Station M', we shall find willing and trustworthy helpers among the Canadians."

Necessary funds for Maschwitz's scheme were obtained via Tommy Davies, a senior SOE officer and peacetime executive with the British textile company Courtaulds, but the full story of British propaganda and German 'atrocities' had many more twists and turns – for which you will have to wait for my book later this year! Henry Hemming does briefly mention another significant forgery that many readers might see as a model for the controversial *Diary of Anne Frank*. The late Professor Robert Faurisson analysed this notorious bestseller in a critical essay first published in 1978. Even the French Jewish scholar Pierre Vidal-Naquet (on other occasions a bitter critic of Faurisson) admitted: "It sometimes happens that Faurisson is right. I have said publicly, and repeat here, that when he shows that the Anne Frank diary is a doctored text, he may not be right in all details, [but] he is certainly right overall and an expert examination made for the Hamburg court has just shown that, in effect, this text was at the very least revised after the war, since [it was written] using ballpoint pens which appeared only in 1951. That is plain, clear and precise."

'Anne Frank''s famous book was supposedly the diary of a Dutch-German girl, begun in June 1942 (though not published until 1947). In January 1941 the supposed diary of a 12-year-old Dutch boy, 'Dirk van der Heide', was published in New York under the title *My Sister and I: The Diary of a Dutch Boy Refugee*. This contained an 'eye-witness account' of what was then the most notorious German atrocity, the bombing of Rotterdam, in which young Dirk's mother was supposedly killed, prompting his flight to Britain and then to America. Reviewers praised *My Sister and I* as "an authentic document", and it gained even wider currency when it inspired a bestselling song recorded by several of the top American and British dance band leaders including Jimmy Dorsey, Benny Goodman and Joe Loss. This song hit number one on the Billboard charts at the start of June 1941.

In fact (as the American literary scholar Paul Fussell eventually discovered) *My Sister and I* was another forgery, created by a publishing executive called Stanley Preston Young.

Among many important points brought out by Henry Hemming in *Our Man in New York*, is that Roosevelt had been attempting to provoke war with Germany since the spring of 1941. Disproportionate effort has been expended by conspiracy theorists attempting to prove that Roosevelt and Churchill conspired to bring about the Japanese attack at Pearl Harbor on 7th December 1941. We would be better off concentrating not on conspiracy theory but on conspiracy fact, detailed by Hemming, showing that Roosevelt always regarded National Socialist Germany, not Imperial Japan, as the main enemy – and that more than eight months before Pearl Harbor he had set out to provoke such a war.

Hemming quotes, for example, a letter from the head of Britain's Secret Intelligence Service MI6, writing to the head of the Diplomatic Service on 1st April 1941: "President has stated categorically to my liaison that he proposes 'to act to bring USA in very shortly'." The liaison in question was Vincent Astor, billionaire tycoon and owner of *Newsweek* magazine, who acted as go-between for Roosevelt and Stephenson. Soon after the conversation relayed by MI6, Roosevelt

Eric Maschwitz (1901-1969), songwriter and expert forger, was one of Stephenson's most important operatives.

ordered American troops to occupy Greenland and American ships to begin patrolling a vast area of the Atlantic: he "expected", according to what he told British Ambassador Lord Halifax, that these moves would "lead to an incident".

Yet Adolf Hitler refused to take Roosevelt's bait. He warned German naval commanders that they should not give the US President "the excuse" to abandon his promise of neutrality "and thus fulfil the dearest wish of the British". In August 1941 at a secret rendezvous with Churchill off the coast of Newfoundland, Roosevelt pledged even greater provocations, hoping to force conflict with Germany, ordering American warships (according to Churchill's report to the British Cabinet) "to attack any U-boat which showed itself, even if it were 200 or 300 miles away from the convoy. Everything was to be done to force an incident."

Stephenson reported to MI6 and the Foreign Office that Roosevelt did not want to go down the route of his First World War predecessor Woodrow Wilson in asking Congress to approve war with Germany. "For one thing, he wishes to avoid the long debate which would probably ensue. The President would prefer therefore to involve the country suddenly by means of a spectacular and highly successful coup."

Stephenson's arch-enemy Charles Lindbergh addresses a 4,000-strong America First rally in Fort Wayne, Indiana, in October 1941. By this time Lindbergh and his fellow anti-war campaigners were already losing their propaganda war against Stephenson's expert and well-funded lobby.

Henry Hemming gives a valuable and highly readable overview of Stephenson's propaganda campaign designed to assist Roosevelt's push to war. Highlights of the campaign included the successful promotion of William Donovan (regarded by Stephenson and MI6 as "our man") to be Roosevelt's intelligence czar and eventual founder of the OSS (precursor of the CIA).

Though Stephenson himself was a rather dodgy businessman, from a family of impoverished Icelandic immigrants to Canada, he was able to call on the services of an elite class of Anglophile Americans and British expatriates who congregated in semi-secret clubs such as the Century Group (some of which dated back to the ambitious plans of Cecil Rhodes and Lord Milner in the 1890s and 1900s to create a world wide web of British imperial interests).

Advertising industry pioneer David Ogilvy was one such agent of influence who manipulated Gallup polling to advance a pro-war policy. The other leading US pollster of this era, Elmo Roper, was also part of the pro-war network: he was working for Stephenson's American colleague Donovan and later became his deputy.

While Hemming is perfectly correct to emphasise that this Wall Street elite contained many WASP gentiles, some readers will feel that he downplays the Jewish role in what his subtitle admits was a "plot to bring America into the Second World War". He does (partially) admit the role of Maschwitz, and also writes about his fellow Jewish agent Joseph Hirschberg, a Belgian emigré who worked in New York for SOE. Hirschberg funded and effectively took over the Anti-Nazi League, which had previously been a rather staid organisation calling for an economic blockade against Germany, but which Hirschberg turned into a militant street movement picketing and disrupting anti-war events.

Hemming suggests that Adolf Hitler was wrong to conclude that Jewish interests were behind Roosevelt's war policy, and that the leading American isolationist Charles Lindbergh (whose campaign is discussed extensively in this book casting him as Stephenson's most important adversary) had "got it wrong. There was no Jewish lobby pushing for war. …Much of what he imagined to have been carried out by 'Jewish influence' was instead being done by those working for Bill Stephenson and their interventionist supporters. …His paranoia was justified. His racism was not."

For all the considerable merits of Hemming's book, this betrays his quasi-religious conviction (typical of his politically-correct generation) that of course Jews can never have conspired, and that of course (even if there were British propaganda lies) the orthodox history of the 'Holocaust' is the unvarnished truth.

Was "Jewish influence" really a figment of Lindbergh's and Hitler's imaginations? Perhaps Hemming should have looked more closely at the SOE files of Joseph Hirschberg and his fellow Jewish emigré Phineas Horowitz. Both had been recruited by Stephenson's initial employers at Section D (an MI6 offshoot and predecessor of SOE) via initial contacts with the Zionist leader Chaim Weizmann.

By the summer of 1945 senior SOE officer John Senter had concluded that Hirschberg and Horowitz were "an embarrassment" to the organisation and was in no doubt about their ultimate loyalties: "Mr Hirschberg is an ardent Zionist and I think that if he were given any official recognition by SOE he would exploit that recognition solely to further his Zionist aims and we should get involved in very serious trouble. I believe that when Mr Hirschberg returned to this country he attracted the attention of MI5 who formed a precisely similar view – namely: that Zionism was his main object in life."

Similarly, Hemming says relatively little about the First World War MI6 chief in the US, Sir William Wiseman, and nothing at all about Wiseman's deputy Norman Thwaites. In fact the Foreign Office and MI6 had secretly warned from very early in the Second World War against re-employing Wiseman because of his association with top Wall Street firm Kuhn, Loeb – a fact unmentioned by Hemming.

Stephenson's deputy Dick Ellis, an Australian-born MI6 veteran, is barely mentioned and Hemming says nothing at all about allegations (by, for example, retired MI5 officer Peter Wright) that Ellis might have been a traitor working at different times for German and Soviet intelligence. He might justifiably argue that the historical record is inconclusive on this point, so he chose not to mention it at all.

Despite some omissions, Henry Hemming has produced a valuable account of British propaganda during the first two years of the Second World War, and of the insidious process by which American public opinion was transformed. When British troops were evacuated from Dunkirk in May 1940, just 7% of the American public favoured entering the war against Germany. Yet by November 1941 – weeks before Pearl Harbor – 85% accepted that the US would sooner or later go to war against Germany. How much of that war and its subsequent retelling by historians was based on forgeries and lies? Henry Hemming has given us part – but only part – of the answer.

Reviewed by Peter Rushton, Manchester, England

Editor's note: An English translation of essays by the late Prof. Robert Faurisson, mentioned above in the context of Anne Frank's supposed diary, is available for £10 plus £2 p&p (UK) from HRP Book Sales, PO Box 301,Carshalton, Surrey, SM5 4QW - **www.hrp.co.uk**

Colin Jordan & John Tyndall Memorial Meeting 2019

This year's John Tyndall Memorial Meeting was again held in Leeds (for the second year running) on Saturday November 23rd. However, this year it was a joint Colin Jordan and John Tyndall Memorial meeting and was organised by the British Movement.

Heritage and Destiny were kindly invited to attend, and *H&D* deputy editor Peter Rushton was one of the main speakers, while editor Mark Cotterill manned the *H&D* literature table.



The attendance of around sixty (including three former Borough councillors – Dr. Jim Lewthwaite (Wyke ward, Bradford); Angela Clarke (Keighley West ward, Bradford); and Mark Cotterill (Meadowhead ward, Blackburn); and one former MEP, Andrew Brons (Yorkshire & Humber) – was very impressive. Most of those attending were BM members, but also half a dozen British Democrats, and a couple each from the National Front and English Democrats, plus the *H&D* team.

The speakers included: Richard Edmonds (NF Directorate member, former BNP national organiser, and right-hand man to John Tyndall); Benny Bullman (long standing BM member and lead singer of the Nationalist band Whitelaw); Dr. Jim Lewthwaite (the chairman of the British Democrats); Andrew Brons (former NF chairman and MEP for Yorkshire & Humber); Peter Rushton (*H&D* deputy editor); Alec Suchi (nationalist climate change activist); and Steve Frost (BM National Secretary).

Speakers related the political and ideological legacy of Colin Jordan and John Tyndall to the present choices and opportunities facing British racial nationalists. In February 1960 CJ and JT took their White Defence League into a merger with John Bean's National Labour Party, creating an earlier version (Mark II) of the British National Party.

This BNP was primarily campaigning on the fundamental issue of post-war Britain, seeking to defend White British identity against mass immigration which they perceived was going to transform our nation. At the party's inception in February 1960, Jordan, Tyndall and Bean were approached by Sir Oswald Mosley, leader of the pre-war British Union who had been interned without trial alongside many BU comrades during the Second World War. Mosley hoped to persuade the young BNP leaders to merge with his postwar Union Movement to create a united pro-White force.

However the insuperable obstacle was that Mosley had committed himself to a policy of European Union, which his younger rivals could never accept.

Speakers emphasised the opportunities for racial nationalists in post-Brexit Britain, where we should operate across the broadest possible range both ideologically and strategically in a concerted effort to sweep aside the discredited multi-culti establishment.

The meeting which was ably chaired by Tony (a local BM member of longstanding), was the second successful Leeds meeting in the same venue this year, and hopefully more will follow in the new year.

During the first interval a superb buffet of both hot and cold food was laid on by the BM's Women's Division, and during the second interval an auction of classic national socialist memorabilia was held, raising several hundred pounds for BM funds.

Both the BM and *H&D* had literature stalls which did a brisk trade throughout the afternoon, with *H&D* picking up a couple of new subscribers on top – which is always good.

As at most nationalist events, there was no trouble at all at the meeting, which was held in a good old-fashioned Yorkshire pub, in a White working-class area of Leeds – not the sort of the pub the posh yuppies from *Hope Not Hate* or Antifa would ever set foot in! But if they had they would have been met by a large BM security team – led by Jordan Pont – who were more than capable of showing them the door – if they had dared turn up!

BM produced a nice souvenir programme of the event. Copies are still available by writing to PO Box 6, Heckmondwike, Yorkshire, WF16 0XG; or email – **sunwheelteam@gmail.com** – or visit the BM website at – **www.britishmovement.info**

Speakers and organisers at the Colin Jordan – John Tyndall Memorial Meeting 2019: (*left to right*) Peter Rushton, Mark Cotterill, Dr Jim Lewthwaite, Peter Hollings, Jordan Pont, Andrew Brons, Alec Suchi, and Richard Edmonds.

Letters From Readers

Sir – Regarding your excellent review of *Rising Out of Hatred* H&D #92. I suspect there is another consideration, although I don't know the family well: For the first four or five times I saw Derek Black at a national CofCC Conference, *I thought he was a girl!* He was an extremely effeminate pre-teen with a ponytail. When I was that age, adopting any kind of a feminine characteristic was the last thing in the world that I would have wanted!

Yours sincerely,

Earl Holt, CofCC, Blackwell, Missouri

Sir – Our enemies are always calling us "right wing reactionaries", that's because the enemy keeps opening up new fronts in their war to destroy the White race and replace us with a nation of degenerates ruled by degeneracy and perversion. We as a result end up responding to each new front that opens up. Solution: instead of always responding we should open up new fronts against the enemy and force them into the position of having to react to us for a change. The easiest way is by distributing literature that takes our message directly to the people, uncensored. We can go around the controlled media, we can get the word out without the internet, without worrying about shadow banning, deplatforming etc. If this method was not effective, our enemies wouldn't go into a rage when pro-White messages show up. Our literature also tells the people that we are real and we are their neighbors and we are right here in their neighborhood, not just on a computer screen or in some other town! Literature distribution makes us real to the recipient! Literature distribution gives a morale boost to like-minded people and literature distribution cause our enemies to despair, sputter and fume. Those things alone should make every activist want to get out there and start returning fire!

Yours sincerely.

John D. Alder, Port Saint Lucie. Florida

Sir – Our race has rejected war: gone are the dark days when the White race would divide in two warring sides and bring about a bloody and massive destruction to White nations. The American War between the States, the First World War, the Second World War and the Boer Wars. These wars took out huge numbers of our best men. I ask can anyone imagine a major war between White nations today?

How I see it all across the White world our race has been heading in the right direction in terms of White peace. We have had White peace now for over seventy years, which has given our race some time to recover and ensuring natural selection improves and strengthens our folk.

Our family units may be smaller today than ever before, but I believe the quality of White men has been improving because our women folk can find a mate from a bigger pool of White males and many of these males are of good calibre. Whereas those on the left wing whom we saw on our media attacking Trump supporters during his election rallies looked to be weaker and acted cowardly. Many readers will have their own experience of the loathsome left-wingers, and it is fair to say that few attractive White women with intelligence ever settle down with a scruffy and lazy left-winger.

One can imagine after any of the major wars that many White women would have to settle for anything or even nothing due to large numbers of strong brave men being killed. This would have been especially true after the First World War.

Recently I was travelling back to Manchester by train and I noticed the only building in view which was over a hundred years old is the sorry jail Strangeways. By its appearance it looks like this jail was not hit during the bombing raids of WW2: being just outside the city centre and not part of an industrial area it was never a target. I could not help but think that those criminal wasters, most of whom have low IQs, simply avoided the wars while in jail. I checked the internet for



prisons in other cities like Leeds and Sheffield and so on and found again and again that just like Manchester these cities have their prisons just outside the city centre. My guess is their criminal scum avoided trenches and the D-day landings. So after the wars and all across the land the criminal underclass were free and able to breed with good quality White women who had few options.

It is worth saying here that when viewing the British Union of Fascist marches on YouTube one can see the majority of BUF members were of fighting age and would have been called up to fight in the brothers' war. I know Mosley attracted a great many young men, while the other parties were often made up of those much older, and I will dare to say here that Mosley's lads / members probably made the biggest sacrifice of any political party.

Anyway today our young men and women wish to study and travel before starting a family and the effect of this is smaller family units, but we need not worry too much because there are more White people alive today than at any other point in history.

The important factor is our women folk are breeding good quality White people and in the USA with Trump's economy boosting income of White people, it is likely we could see larger White families becoming more common in the USA. I know the world is not how we like it, but may I say the White race is marching on and our future is in many respects safe. We are still the main inventors and our numbers are safe, and most whites are racially pure.

Yours for Race and Nation,

Austin Stonham, Manchester, England

Sir – I read recently, that an anti-fascist campaigner, who it was claimed was regularly trolled by far-right activists, died after a 'cry for help'. Edel 'Lola' Carroll, was found at her Luton home on the 12th July last year, after taking a fatal dose of prescription drugs, an inquest heard.

In a three-page note, the forty seven year old wrote "Got into opposing racists like Tommy Robinson and the EDL, and this got me battered." Bedford Senior Coroner Emma Whitting said, "she (Lola) was clearly a brave and determined lady who stood up for what she believed in. Fighting against racism and any kind of hate is the bedrock of a peaceful and civilised society and her passing is undoubtedly a loss for us all."

Carroll was on bail for public disorder at a protest in Dover at the time of her death and was due to appear at Luton Magistrates for assaulting a Police Constable. I'm not sure what kind of a peaceful and civilised society Bedford Senior Coroner Emma Whitting was alluding to?

For Race and Nation,

N.D. Hackett, Esq., Halesowen, Worcestershire

There are several superficial differences amongst humanity – class, age, language and even nationality. But there are only three fundamental ones and these are race, gender and psychological type. Males and females as well as introverts and extroverts have their own strengths and advantages, but they are different. The first of these though – race – is the most important and encompasses the concept of nationhood. The nation is a component of the race coupled with a territorial imperative and it only has meaning as that. Yet all three are pivotal as

they determine not only how people think, but how they act both now and in the future.

While these differences were understood and adhered to, then societies like ours whilst not fine were more or less stable. But since these liberal regimes took over and like its communist counterparts chose to ignore these differences and impose their common dogma then things have steadily deteriorated. Since the war the incidences of spree and serial killings, terrorism as well as the increasing rise of paedophilia and other perversions have soared to epidemic proportions.

The calls for more police will solve nothing. Also remember there are two types of police. First those who fight crime and the other "thought police", who enforce this unholy liberal/communist mish-mash collective world view on the population. The truth is that civilised societies are by their nature artificial structures that can only remain healthy and robust by keeping natural laws which these people have flouted. Indeed it could well be said that this society is a cross between George Orwell's *1984* and Lewis Carroll's *Alice in Wonderland.* While this is so the inherent chaos will not only continue but will increasingly get worse.

Yours sincerely,

Mr A. J. Chapman, London, England

Sir – Given his previous writing, I was surprised to read Simon Sheppard (in *H&D* 92) invoke Yahweh – the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob – as a "good explanation as any" for life, the universe and everything. Call it prejudice, if you like, but I would prefer not to credit that tribal deity with anything. Fortunately, Mr Sheppard employed the so-called teleological argument on which to posit Yahweh's existence, for which there is a standard refutation. This argument (also used by Mr Wyle in *H&D* 85) states that life is just too complex to have happened by sheer accident. There must have been a creator to account for this complexity, therefore, God exists.

The problem with this is that it seeks to solve complexity by introducing a creator who is even more complex and unlikely than that which he is supposed to explain. Of course the same question could then be applied to the creator as it does to the creation: Who created the creator? In which case you get an infinite regress and according to Ockham's razor we should not needlessly multiply entities. Generally, when constructing a theory, we don't want to appeal to anything we are not sure actually exists. The only way to be sure that something actually exists is if your theory can't do without it.

I'm more inclined to agree with Mr Sheppard's comment that something is "definitely going on" with Richard Dawkins's proselytising of atheism and evolution. It is well to remember that Dawkins was the University of Oxford's Professor for Public Understanding of Science. Part of his remit seems to have been a series of sermons on the wonders of Darwinism. It is interesting to note, in this context, that Darwinism is mentioned in *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, where, under the subtitle "Destructive Education", its dissemination is described approvingly as having a disintegrating effect on the mind of the Goyim.

Unfortunately, *The Protocols* don't go into more detail on this disintegration. It could be a consequence of the reduction of human life to an animal level. Man, instead of being the pinnacle of creation, is just another species whose ascendancy is temporary and is subject to the inevitable reversal of pure chance. Human life is without direction, being governed by the mechanistic law of evolution. Darwinism itself ultimately leads to relativism as there is no truth, only the struggle for existence.

Although some nationalists, writing in *H&D*, have claimed that Darwinism underpins their political philosophy, and doubtless a case could be made for such, unfortunately, a case could also be made for Darwinism to underpin almost any political ideology. (Perhaps conservatism could be an exception as that tends to eschew a theoretical base.) As an example, Marx (whose theories presumably few readers of this journal would endorse) was an early advocate of Darwinism, as it could be used to give scientific sanction to the idea of class struggle. None of this is intended as a denial of the scientific status of the theory of evolution but rather to point out that it is unavailable as a premise for one's political philosophy.

Yours sincerely

Christopher Nason, Eltham, London

Sir – May I thank you for referring (correctly) to the beasts used on English/British sports clothing and 'Nationalist' insignia in your editorial, as leopards and not lions (*H&D* issue #92). For far too long, the leopards, which date back to early Plantagenet times, have been referred to as "lions". This is historically incorrect, no matter how many songs and mindless chants profess otherwise. Any depiction of "lions" *passant*, in full face with a right arm raised in three rows are actually leopards. Such a small part of history, but these things are important to some of us.

Regards - a proud subscriber,

David Astin, Port Adelaide, South Australia

Sir – Our globalist, multi-culti masters and their servile neo-Orwellian media outlets and pet politicians persistently promote their pro-pervert, anti-male and anti-White propaganda with fanatical, sycophantic 'snowflake' support and seek to curtail our freedom of speech in order to silence our response.

Why do we so often take a defensive stance rather than insisting they first define their terms?

We can then interrogate them and expose the fact that their negative term 'racist' differs from our positive racial nationalist definition.

Ask them if they believe that it was right that White Europeans migrated to the Americas, Australasia and sub-Saharan Africa and by so doing polluted their culture, settled and drove them from their lands. Their answer will be that this was wrong.

If so, why is it now right that sub-Saharans, members of their diaspora or Asians settle here and change (contaminate) our culture?

If the answer is that we are enriched by their presence, why wasn't the rest of the world was enriched by ours?

Ask any media minion why they or their employers are pro-pervert, anti-male and anti-White? They will no doubt take offence rather than explain. Do they think that there is cultural merit in promoting "black consciousness, black history or black entertainment"?

If so, why is our promotion of White consciousness, White history, or other aspects of White culture wrong? These examples are not exhaustive. Think of others and use them with politeness and confidence.

How can we be accused of 'hate speech' just because we question the certitudes of spoon-fed 'snowflakes'? We love our families and our folk. Others have a right to do that. We also claim that right. They have no right to hate our heritage, our identity and our destiny as White people.

Yours sincerely,

Gary Clarke. Yorkshire, England



Movement News Update

In the run-up to the UK General Election on December 12th (see report on pages 3-5) there was a handful of local council by-elections. On November 14th in Goodrington with Roselands ward, **Torbay**, the Brexit Party achieved a reasonably good 168 votes (9.3%). There were boundary changes here last year, so the current ward has only seen one previous election – UKIP polling 12.8% here in the May 2019 elections. So it's clearly a fairly strong pro-Brexit area: the big question is whether Farage's party has any intention of building genuine branch structures.

An unusual by-election on November 21st was for the Bourne division of **West Sussex** County Council: UKIP gained this seat (which is an area of Chichester) at the 2013 elections with more than 40% of the vote, losing it back to an Asian Conservative candidate, Viral Parikh in 2017. Cllr Parikh quit the Tory party in August this year to join the Brexit Party, and once it became clear there would be a General Election in December he resigned his council seat so as to contest Sunderland Central (300 miles away from Sussex) as a Brexit Party parliamentary candidate.

This decision is not quite so odd as it looks. Ex-Cllr Parikh studied at Sunderland University and worked as a pharmacist in the Sunderland area before moving to Sussex. It is sadly typical of Farage's party that the departing councillor left no functioning branch behind, and neither UKIP nor the Brexit Party contested this Bourne division by-election.

Former BNP candidate Dr Andrew Emerson (who has contested numerous elections in the Chichester area over the years) contested the by-election for his Patria party, polling 12 votes (0.4%).

On the same day Dr Emerson also contested the Loxwood ward of **Chichester** Borough Council (a completely different area of the city from the county council division that he was also fighting). This was a quixotic decision, to put it mildly, given that Patria is a tiny party that would have struggled to mount one serious campaign, let alone two. Another shockingly low vote ensued: 9 votes (0.6%).

On General Election day itself (December 12th) several dozen by-elections were held, with only one having a nationalist candidate. In Topsham ward, **Exeter**, Frankie Rufolo of the For Britain Movement (who in common with most other nationalists sat out the General Election) polled 245 votes (4.6%).

H&D has reported for some time on the increasingly farcical decline and fall of **UKIP**. The underlying issue is that a majority of the party's much reduced membership essentially agrees with the anti-Islamist strategy of former leader Gerard Batten, who brought UKIP into an alliance with EDL founder 'Tommy Robinson' and several YouTube video bloggers associated with the so-called 'Alt Lite', notably Carl Benjamin, known online as 'Sargon of Akkad'.

However while the broader membership took one view, those UKIP activists who are sufficiently serious about politics to commit themselves to holding office at various levels in the party, and constitute a majority on the national executive, opposed what they saw as Batten's obsession with the Muslim question, and especially opposed his alliances with the likes of 'Robinson' and 'Sargon'. They blocked Batten from standing for re-election, and were appalled when Batten's protegé Dr Richard Braine won the leadership earlier this year.

A power struggled ensued between leader and executive, leading in short order to the following developments during October and November: the executive suspended Dr Braine; former party leader Lord Pearson of Rannoch (an ally of Braine and Batten) quit UKIP saying "under its present NEC, it is resisting a national debate about Islamism, which we need urgently"; former Welsh UKIP leader Gareth Bennett also quit the party, reducing UKIP to just a single member of the Welsh Assembly – Mr Bennett now campaigns in support of Boris Johnson's Brexit deal, but has not formally joined the Tories and will sit as an independent AM; and finally the dispute between the UKIP executive and their leader reached the courts (in a tradition of internecine dispute long familiar to those who experienced the 1970s NF or the 21st century BNP.)

UKIP leader Richard Braine (*above centre*), seen here with two young friends and wearing a T-shirt featuring late Chilean President Augusto Pinochet, has been suspended by his own executive.

As we report elsewhere, the **National Front** decided not to contest this year's General Election. During the second half of 2019 the NF has become increasingly preoccupied by internal disputes, with former deputy chairman Jordan Pont, former chairman Kev Bryan, and veteran nationalist Eddy Morrison all opposing the present leadership. The rebel faction were especially enraged by an edition of the party journal *The Flame* (mainly written by Directorate member Mike Easter) that took a self-consciously 'anti-nazi' line. It remains uncertain whether a new party will emerge from this split.

Former Young BNP leader Mark Collett continues to build his new movement **Patriotic Alternative**, with a major event planned for the London area in March 2020. Mr Collett was among several Alt Right leaders who spoke at the **Erkenbrand** conference in the Netherlands on November 9th, alongside prolific YouTube broadcaster Colin Robertson (aka 'Millennial Woes') and Prof. Kevin MacDonald of the American Freedom Party.

A few weeks earlier some of the same activists attended a **Scandza Forum** event in Copenhagen that was severely disrupted by 'anti-fascist' demonstrators. The Forum organisers succeeded in continuing with their meeting, but several dozen of the audience including transatlantic guests Greg Johnson and Paul Fromm were prevented by police from crossing anti-fascist picket lines, so were unable to take part.

European nationalist parties continued to forge ahead during autumn 2019. Following the breakthrough for a new Portuguese nationalist party (reported in *H&D* 93), a general election in **Spain** on November 10th saw nationalist party Vox make further gains. Vox became the third-largest party in Spain with 15.1% (up from 10.3% at the previous election in April this year) more than doubling their number of MP's from 24 to 52.

The Spanish centre-right is now in deep crisis, with the two conservative/centrist parties combined winning only 99 seats, their worst result since Spain returned to 'democracy' in the 1970s. The immediate future looks bright for Vox, as the Spanish government will continue to be an unstable coalition of socialists, far left and assorted regionalist parties.

Nationalist leaders such as Marine Le Pen and Matteo Salvini were swift to congratulate Vox's leader Santiago Abascal on his latest election success. However, so far Vox has avoided alliances with even the most moderate European nationalist parties, choosing instead to sit in the European Parliament with the 'Conservatives and Reformists' group dominated by Poland's ruling Law & Justice Party, and also including the UK's Conservative Party and the Sweden Democrats. One reason for this has been Vox wanting to avoid the taint of 'racism'

or 'fascism', although a more particular reason is that Matteo Salvini has previously endorsed Catalan separatism. Even more than anti-immigration, the biggest single issue for Vox is support for Spain's territorial integrity and militant opposition to Catalan separatists.

The more traditionalist element among Vox supporters was no doubt energised by the Spanish socialist government's disgraceful decision to exhume the remains of former leader Gen. Francisco Franco from his tomb at the Valley of the Fallen near Madrid. Franco's remains were removed from his grave on October 24th and reburied in a municipal cemetery. The reinterment ceremony was conducted by a Catholic priest from the province of Andalusia who is the son of Lt. Col. Antonio Tejero, famous for his role in the attempted coup of 1981.

Supporters of Franco and José Antonio rally outside their mausoleum – the Valley of the Fallen, near Madrid. Spain's socialist government ordered the removal of Franco's remains on October 24th: a fortnight later Spanish nationalists had their best election results since the Franco era.

Apart from the UK, the main exception to the general European trend is **Austria**, which had a very successful populist, anti-immigration force – the Austrian Freedom Party (FPÖ). Sadly the FPÖ has become to some extent a victim of its own success. Heinz-Christian Strache, FPÖ leader since 2005, became Vice-Chancellor of Austria in 2017 as junior coalition partner with the conservative Austrian People's Party, while in the European Parliament the FPÖ was the most important ally of Marine Le Pen's National Rally (previously the French National Front).

Strache was already seen by many of his former friends as a 'sell-out' and in May 2019 he was disgraced when covert film footage was released showing Strache and his deputy Johann Gudenus at a secret meeting in Ibiza two years earlier, discussing various corrupt and illegal practices with a woman who claimed to be the daughter of a Russian oligarch. The entire charade was orchestrated by a mysterious Iranian-born lawyer, who works in Vienna with a politically well-connected Jewish law firm.

The irony is that Strache was the leading figure in an Islam-obsessed faction of European 'far-right' populists who have abandoned their former principles and shamelessly grovelled to Zionists, going on 'pilgrimage' to Yad Vashem, proclaiming Israel as a great ally of the West, etc., etc. Can there be a more tragic, pathetic spectacle than that of a 'nationalist' leader abasing himself before International Zionism, only to be contemptuously dismissed and ruined by those same interests once he has served his purpose?

The Ibiza scandal led to Strache's resignation, the collapse of Austria's coalition government, and a parliamentary election on September 29th. FPÖ's nationwide vote fell from 26% to 16.2% and they lost twenty of their 51 seats. The big winners were the Greens, who returned to Parliament with 26 seats – the best result in their history just two years after suffering their worst defeat – and are set to be the new coalition partners for Austria's conservative ÖVP. So having started 2019 with a conservative-'far right' coalition, Austria now has a conservative-Green coalition. The new FPÖ leadership has angrily denounced this *volte face* by the ÖVP, whose new Green partners are even more pro-immigration than Austria's socialists! However to a large extent the FPÖ and their former leadership have only themselves to blame, and the party is now likely to go through a long period of introspection and division.

A more ambiguous financial scandal has led to criminal charges in **France** against several leading allies of Marine Le Pen. This affair dates back to 2012 when Mme Le Pen's party (then called the National Front) allegedly committed fraud (for the party's benefit rather than their own) by requiring their election candidates to purchase "election kits" costing almost £15,000 from a so-called micro-party named "Jeanne" (after Joan of Arc). This was effectively part of Marine Le Pen's faction: the micro-party then purchased the "kits" from Riwal, a company run by Frédéric Chatillon, an old friend of Mme Le Pen's and former president of the militant nationalist student group GUD. The money was then reclaimed by each candidate from state funds, since France (in common with many European countries) has a system of state funding for political parties. The allegation is that the true cost of each "election kit" was less than £4,000.

Mme Le Pen herself is not accused of any offence, but during November 2019 several of her close allies – including M. Chatillon, Le Pen's party treasurer Walleyrand de Saint-Just, and MEP Jean-François Jalkh. appeared in Paris courts on criminal charges. Though factionally loyal to Marine Le Pen, M. Jalkh is known for his hardline views on some topics, and in 2017 was removed as interim FN president for having made comments supportive of the late Prof. Robert Faurisson, whom M. Jalkh praised for his "seriousness and rigour". M. Jalkh was secretary-general of the "Jeanne" micro-party and is alleged to have been mastermind of the illegal funding scheme.

In **Poland** a general election for both houses of parliament on October 13th led to another victory for the populist-conservative Law and Justice Party, who achieved 44% of the vote – the strongest result for any party since Poland became a democracy in 1989.

Due to very different electoral systems, the two houses of Poland's parliament had very different results. In the Sejm, elected by proportional representation under the D'Hondt list system (as used in European parliamentary elections), Law and Justice has a solid majority. However the Senate is elected by first-past-the-post (like the British House of Commons): here Law and Justice lost their majority and there were big gains for the liberal opposition.

A new coalition of more right-wing Polish parties under the label 'Confederation – Liberty and Independence' polled 6.8% and elected eleven MPs in all: five for 'Confederation' leader Janusz Korwin-Mikke's faction, five for the more hardline racial nationalist 'National Movement', and one for a small monarchist party.

Voting in the first round of the **Croatian** presidential election took place on 22nd December. Nationalist candidate Miroslav Skoro polled almost 25% of the vote nationally, taking third place after social democrat Zoran Milanović, who took first place with just under 30%, and the conservative incumbent Mrs Kolinda Gabar-Kitarović, who polled just over 26%. Skoro topped the poll in the economically disadvantaged eastern region (Slavonia) of Croatia, but polled well everywhere except the prosperous and unusually liberal region of Istra (Istria) around Rijeka (Fiume).

Regional elections in Umbria, central **Italy**, on October 27th produced a sensational victory for a coalition of anti-immigration parties, taking control of a region traditionally regarded as part of the "red belt" of central Italy run by socialists and communists. The Lega party led by former deputy prime minister Matteo Salvini will now run Umbria in coalition with the 'post-fascist' party Brothers of Italy (*Fratelli d'Italia*). Salvini and *Fratelli* leader Giorgia Meloni are now looking forward to the next regional contests in Emilia-Romagna and Calabria on January 26th, with general elections to follow, which are likely to produce a Lega-Fratelli coalition government some time in 2020.

Peter Rushton, Manchester, England



Movie Review: *The Keeper*

Released UK: April 2019. Production Company - Zephyr Films, Distributed by Netflix/Parkland Entertainment. Directed by: Marcus H. Rosenmuller. Rated: NR. Running Time: 120 minutes.

It's been quite a while since I've reviewed a football themed movie, as there just haven't been any made. Then out of the blue (sky blue in this case!) just like Preston Bus, two come along together! The first – *Diego Maradona* – documents and centres around the time the great Argentinian footballer of the same name switched sides from Barcelona to Napoli in 1984, eventually winning the 1989 UEFA Cup with the Italian team.

However, I picked the second movie – *The Keeper* – the story of the great German goalkeeper Bert Trautmann (David Kross), who ended up playing for Manchester City. Thanks once again to one of our loyal Ulster subscribers, who sent a copy of the DVD over for review, as yet again, I missed it on the big screen!

Trautmann's life story is illustrated in Marcus H Rosenmüller's *The Keeper*, a romantic biopic about the goalkeeper's journey from German paratrooper to Man City hero. The movie expands on more than just the moment he made sporting history, leaning on his relationship with his first wife, Margaret Friar (Freya Mavor), and reconciliation after his time in the Second World War.

While sceptics rejected Trautmann because of his origins, immediately dismissing him as a 'Nazi traitor', the footballer worked to distance himself from Third Reich ideology, admitting he went to war at a young age, before realising what he was getting into, or who or what he was fighting for (sure he did!).

Fabricated sequences pluck Trautmann from the POW camp in which he's serving his time, to play football on weekends for non-league St Helens Town in 1944. John Henshaw is convincing and comical, as he portrays the big-hearted Town manager (and future father-in-law), Jack Friar, recruiting the young footballer and establishing his sense of priorities. "It's either football or hard labour," he tells Bert.

But Trautmann's psychological reckoning is brought to the fore, broadening the movie's appeal through compassionate themes of pride and loyalty – on the pitch, in the shop, at the dinner table. The romance between Bert and Margaret is handled tenderly, in no small part thanks to the growing compassion shared between the two actors.

As Margaret, (Freya Mavor) softens the prejudice around Bert's past, as she speaks up for her country as well as her husband. In moments of tragedy, her body language stiffens and increases the stakes, offering credible empathy in what could have been an excessively formulaic melodrama.

An optimistic through-line characterises the movie, only sewing in fleeting flashbacks, mainly of Manchester City fans when they learn about the past of the man hired to replace their beloved goalkeeper, Frank Swift. The focus is maintained on Bert's skill and determination, as well as his first serious relationship. This helps to build an image of a well-rounded hero, but it omits the less exemplary parts of his life, namely an estranged daughter (born from an affair he had with another local girl before Margaret) and two more marriages following his divorce from Margaret in 1972.

The joy of playing football is rhymed with the weightlessness of dancing. There is an emphasis on the importance of the moment, focusing energy on those ashes of joy in motion instead of dwelling on the more trudging points of history. It's not quite an exhaustively truthful biopic, but *The Keeper* successfully sparks interest beyond the realm of just Man City fans – and celebrates how forgiveness and persistence can bring home more than just football.

Bert Trautmann, the former German POW, stayed on in Britain after the War, first played for St Helens Town (1944-1949) then for Manchester City (1949-1964). In the victorious 1956 FA Cup final against Birmingham City he became a legend for playing to the final whistle with a broken neck.

Initially, the very presence of this former Wehrmacht soldier, who fought on the Eastern Front in 1941-42, winning five medals including an Iron Cross First Class, caused outrage in Manchester, particularly among its Jewish community. But Trautmann's sincere decency, humility, and his marriage to a British woman – and his great performances on the pitch – won Manchester over (well the sky blue part anyway). What proved decisive was a remarkable open letter to the press from Manchester's communal Rabbi, Alexander Altmann, asking for Trautmann to be given a chance.

This is a straightforward historical romance, from German director Marcus H Rosenmüller and co-writers Robert Marciniak and Nicholas Schofield. It is well played by David Kross as Trautmann and Freya Mavor as Margaret. John Henshaw gives a typically excellent performance as the St Helens Town manager and Margaret's plain-speaking dad, and also has a script credit, evidently ensuring that the English dialogue of the German-speaking screenwriters sounds properly Lancashire!

Wherever Bert Trautmann went, everyone asked about his injury. Before the death of the German goalkeeper in 2013, his triumphant performance in the 1956 FA Cup Final earned him the title of 'Man City legend'. Seventeen minutes before the end of the game, a violent collision sent him down in searing pain, but he got back up and carried on playing. Four days later, doctors confirmed he had broken his neck. Incredible. They would never believe us back home!

It's a watchable movie, more substantial than most football movies and many post-war dramas, although Trautmann's own love life might have been slightly more complicated than this movie suggests! Still if you missed it on the big screen, and don't have a friend to lend you their DVD, don't worry, it will no doubt be on TV soon!

Reviewed by Mark Cotterill, Preston, Lancashire

Bert Trautmann (1923-2013) fought on the Eastern Front in 1941-42, winning five medals including an Iron Cross First Class. A British prisoner-of-war from 1945 to 1948, he became goalkeeper for non-league team St Helens Town, then achieved fame with Manchester City and is now recognised as one of the greatest goalkeepers of all time.

BROADSWORD

The quarterly magazine of the British Movement

One year subscription – £12.00
or for a sample copy of latest issue send £5.00 to:
The Sunwheel, P.O. Box 6,
Heckmondwike, WF16 0XF

www.britishmovement.info

The Nationalist Times

The *Nationalist Times* is the monthly newspaper of Middle America. Each issue is packed with news and commentary on national and international events, politics, and the latest social trends.

A one-year subscription to *The Nationalist Times* is available for only $49; or $95 for two years (USA); $70 (Canada); $75 (Rest of World). Or send $5.00 for a sample copy to; **The Nationalist Times, PO Box 218, Wildwood, PA 15091 USA.**

Check out *The Nationalist Times* website at
www.americanfreedomunion.com
email **mail@americanfreedomunion.com**

League Sentinel

League Sentinel. Journal of the League of St George. Published quarterly. UK subscription is just £6.00 for four issues. Contact: League Enterprises Kemp House, 152-160 City Road London. EC1V 2NX . Please make cheques/postal orders payable to League Enterprises.

www.leaguestgeorge.org

THE MISSING LINK

to understanding what's really going on in this country and the world is the true identity of the Children of Light and the Children of Darkness. Have you been a Christian-Patriot for many years and found that some things just "don't add up"? The real nature of the forces of good and evil have been hidden from our people through politically correct manipulation from the government, the media, the schools, and the churches by both the so-called "right" and "left".

Learn the missing message that links it all together, clarifies the confusion, and reveals your racial destiny, by ordering a copy of the book ***Your Heritage*** for only **$2.50.** Included will be a **FREE** copy of our Doctrinal Statement of Beliefs and lists of other books, tapes, and videos opening your eyes to amazing truths that you haven't seen before.

Kingdom Identity Ministries
P.O. Box 1021
Harrison, Arkansas 72602 USA
Visit our website at: **www.kingidentity.com**

It's great to be White.

NATIONAL ALLIANCE • NATALL.COM

P.O. BOX 4, MOUNTAIN CITY, TN 37683, U.S.A.

VALKYRIE BOOKS
Third Reich And WW2 our speciality
E-mail retrogamernorth@gmail.com for full list
(Above) David Glantz STALINGRAD TRILOGY
Normally £130 from Amazon
We sell all three LIKE NEW only £44.99

JOIN GIDEON'S ELITE PREPARE FOR KINGDOM SERVICE

Hear Pastor Peter J. Peters daily on WWCR shortwave radio. For a FREE newsletter with complete broadcast schedule write to:
Scriptures for America,
POB 766, LaPorte, CO 80535, USA.

24 Hours, 7 Days a week –
Daily internet streaming
www.scripturesforamerica.org

H&D Subscribers Update – January 2020

Statement by Colin Todd: "Dear All, Political punishment for non-political offence I am going to be released from prison on 1st January. I will have severe bail restrictions including travel, living in a bail hostel, curfews, signing on each day, etc. I will be taken from prison to the bail hostel by the police. I can have no contract with Nationalists, *Candour* or anyone in the movement while the restrictions last. Obviously, this includes my presence on marches, demonstrations and meetings. "Voluntary" tagging and other restrictions may be lifted much more slowly, and no doubt other restrictions will be added. I will have to sign a license upon my release and if I break the conditions I will return to prison for the rest of my sentence until the end of 2020. I am releasing these details before signing the licenses, and before I know full details of my restrictions. *Candour* will clearly be delayed further and I would like to thank subscribers for their patience. Happy New Year to all. **Colin Todd. 29 December 2019".**

New Patron: We are pleased to welcome **Robert Burroughs** of **London**, to our list Patrons. If you would like to become an H&D Patron for 2020, please send us £200/$300 (or if you can't afford that all in one go, a monthly payment of **£20 to £30 (by bank standing order or cheque/postal order)** is fine too. Patron's receive two complimentary subscriptions to the magazine (one for themselves and one for a friend), plus an H&D polo shirt of their choice. Patrons also receive free admission to all H&D events organized throughout the year. If you are interested in becoming an H&D Patron and helping the magazine, please contact our office for more information.

Letters, reviews and articles: Thank you again to those readers who send in articles, reviews and letters. At the moment we are still OK for book reviews – and we have had to hold back quite a few more excellent reviews until issues 95+96 and beyond, including; *Mad Hatter* by **Amanda Gale**, to be reviewed by Robert Best; *Scotland Yard's Gangbuster: Bert Wickstead's Most Celebrated Cases* by **Dick Kirby**, to be reviewed by Peter Rushton; *A Call to Arms: Radicals and Visionaries of the British Isles* by **Troy Southgate,** to be reviewed by Ian Freeman: *The Strange Career of Mr. Hoover Under Two Flags* by **John Hamill –** to be reviewed by Bancroft Hall**:** *The White Nationalist Manifesto* by **Greg Johnson,** to be reviewed by James Knight; And *Does Your Rabbi Know You're Here?* by **Anthony Clavane**, (sorry we are still waiting for Gil Caldwell's review!) Again, we could really do with more, movie, theatre, TV, and music (CD or live concert) reviews from different writers. We are not promising that everything you may write will get published, but we welcome the emergence of budding writers. So, come on get writing and give it a go. Alternatively, why not send us articles of interest that we can base articles upon? If you can email them to us at – **heritageanddestiny@yahoo.com** – then that's all the better. However, if you are not online, please send neatly typed; single sided to – **The Editor, H&D, 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE.**

H&D Polo shirts: We still have a number of H&D polo shirts, which feature the ***H&D*** Three Lions logo in a circle, with the words "HERITAGE AND DESTINY" at the top, and "FAITH FOLK FAMILY" at the bottom are back in stock. The shirts, which come in black or white, and come in a whole range of sizes from Small to XXXL, would make great Christmas/Yuletide gifts. These high-quality shirts were designed and made in Preston, Lancashire (not the Far East as some nationalist parties shamefully do). To order yours please send a cheque/Postal Order **for £20.00 (per shirt)** – which includes UK postage to **Heritage and Destiny, 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE** (or you can pay by BACs) - Please state clearly the colour and size of shirt you require. These shirts are also available to overseas subscribers, who should call or email us first for postal rates to their country.

Payments to *H&D:* As many of you will know PayPal closed down our main "Heritage and Destiny" account, and secondary "England First" account, without any warning or explanation, such is life. You can however still pay by Cheques (including US Dollar checks, drawn from an American bank), Postal-Money Orders, cash (sent recorded/registered/signed for) or via Bank Transfer (Bacs) to; Account Name **Heritage and Destiny;** – Account number – **14144034**; Sort – **010085:** (Overseas subscribers may need additional BIC codes. If you have any problems/questions regarding subscriptions, donations or sales payments please contact our office.

Magazines for Sale: Many issues of *Spearhead, Britain First* (original, 1972-1977 version), *Patriot, Candour, The Occidental Quarterly, American Renaissance* and other nationalist, dissident and non-conformist publications. For a full list please e-mail **bloomsburyforum@gmail.com** or send a SAE. **to P.O. Box 63718, London, SW3 9AT.**

Wanted: Numbers 1 & 2 of the 1980s NF magazine *New Nation.* Contact: **S.S. c/o H&D, 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE,** or email – **heritageanddestiny@yahoo.com** - stating your price and condition of the magazines for sale.

Wanted: *Freedom (BNP newspaper);* No's 65 to 72, 74 to 84; 91 to 93; 97, 99 to 113; 116, 119: *League Review*; No's 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12: *The Rune*; No's 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9: Lee Rigby badge: Skrewdriver CD's. British National Socialism A History DVD (by Mad Dogs and Englishmen); *National Vanguard* magazine (National Alliance USA) and *Memoirs of a Street soldier* by Eddy Morrison: Contact: **BDL c/o H&D, 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, PR2 6HE**, or email – **heritageanddestiny@yahoo.com** - stating your price and condition of the magazines for sale.